



Forward-looking tobacco control measures (in relation to Article 2.1 of the WHO FCTC)

Information document

Purpose of the document

This document references the sources that informed document FCTC/COP/11/5, containing the report of the Expert Group on Forward-looking tobacco control measures (in relation to Article 2.1 of the WHO FCTC), submitted to the Conference of the Parties (COP) in accordance with decision FCTC/COP10(12).

Action by the Conference of the Parties

The COP is invited to note the present document when considering document FCTC/COP/11/5.

Contribution to the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs): All SDGs; in particular, SDG 3 and Target 3.a.

Link to Workplan and Budget item: None.

Additional financial implications if not included in the Workplan and Budget: None.

Related document(s): FCTC/COP/11/5 and compilation of information briefs on forward-looking tobacco control measures developed by the experts (supplementary information).

Background

1. This information document provides the list of references (sources) that informed the report contained in document FCTC/COP/11/5, prepared by the Expert Group on Forward-looking tobacco control measures (in relation to Article 2.1 of the WHO FCTC) pursuant to decision FCTC/COP10(12).
2. These references correspond to the 16 forward-looking measures (FLMs) that were selected by the Expert Group, as outlined in document FCTC/COP/11/5. Short summaries (abstracts) for each FLM are provided in Annex 2 of document FCTC/COP/11/5. A compilation of information briefs for the 16 FLMs, containing contextual information about the work of the Expert Group, forms a supplementary document published on the WHO FCTC website.

References (sources)

3. This section provides the list of references (sources) for each of the 16 FLM information briefs. They are structured as follows:
 - (a) Tobacco supply
 - (i) Retail reduction
 - (ii) Ban on incentives to retailers
 - (iii) Price controls for tobacco products and devices (“minimum price policies”)
 - (iv) Birthdate-based sales restrictions (BSRs) (“tobacco-free generation”)
 - (v) Increase in minimum legal age for sales of tobacco products
 - (vi) Ban/phase out sales of tobacco products
 - (b) Institutional structure/market
 - (i) Environmental controls (“producer pays”)
 - (ii) End of any government support for tobacco farming
 - (iii) Measures to reduce tobacco supplier profits and pricing power
 - (iv) End the commercial sale of tobacco industry products
 - (v) Quota on tobacco manufacture and imports, followed by regular reduction (“sinking lid”)
 - (c) Product
 - (i) Low/very low nicotine content levels in combustible tobacco products
 - (ii) Ban on all flavouring agents and other additives in tobacco products
 - (iii) Tobacco supply freeze on brands and reduction of variants
 - (iv) Ban on cigarette filters

(d) Consumer

- (i) Expansion of smoke-free venues in private/semi-private environments and reduction of exposure to second- and third-hand tobacco smoke

(a) Tobacco supply**(i) Retail reduction**

1. Canty R, Gartner C, Hoek J, Hefler. Global policy scan of commercial combustible tobacco product retailing regulations by WHO region. *Tob Control*. 2024 Jul 25; Published online first.
2. Wakefield M, Germain D, Henriksen L. The effect of retail cigarette pack displays on impulse purchase. *Addiction*. 2008 Feb;103(2):322–8.
3. Finan LJ, Lipperman-Kreda S, Abadi M, Grube JW, Kaner E, Balassone A, et al. Tobacco outlet density and adolescents' cigarette smoking: a meta-analysis. *Tob Control*. 2019 Jan;28(1):27–33.
4. Lee JGL, Kong AY, Sewell KB, Golden SD, Combs TB, Ribisl KM, et al. Associations of tobacco retailer density and proximity with adult tobacco use behaviours and health outcomes: a meta-analysis. *Tob Control*. 2022 Dec;31(e2):e189–200.
5. Martin-Gall V, Neil A, Macintyre K, Rehman S, Nguyen TP, Harding B, et al. Tobacco retail availability and smoking - a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Drug Alcohol Rev*. 2024 Nov;43(7):1718–32.
6. Baggett CD, Richardson DB, Kuo TM, Rudolph JE, Kong AY, Ribisl KM, et al. Tobacco retailer density and its association with birth outcomes in the USA: 2000–2016. *Tob Control*. 2024 Aug 21; Published online first.
7. Cantrell J, Anesetti-Rothermel A, Pearson JL, Xiao H, Vallone D, Kirchner TR. The impact of the tobacco retail outlet environment on adult cessation and differences by neighborhood poverty. *Addiction*. 2015;110(1):152–61.
8. Oliver JA, Sweitzer MM, Engelhard MM, Hallyburton MB, Ribisl KM, McClernon FJ. Identifying neural signatures of tobacco retail outlet exposure: preliminary validation of a “community neuroscience” paradigm. *Addict Biol*. 2021;26(5):e13029.
9. Glasser AM, Roberts ME. Retailer density reduction approaches to tobacco control: a review. *Health Place*. 2021 Jan;67:102342.
10. Alebshehy R, Asif Z, Boeckmann M. Policies regulating retail environment to reduce tobacco availability: a scoping review. *Front Public Health*. 2023;11:975065.
11. Marsh L, Vaneckova P, Robertson L, Johnson TO, Doscher C, Raskind IG, et al. Association between density and proximity of tobacco retail outlets with smoking: a systematic review of youth studies. *Health Place*. 2021 Jan;67:102275.
12. Robertson L, Cameron C, McGee R, Marsh L, Hoek J. Point-of-sale tobacco promotion and youth smoking: a meta-analysis. *Tob Control*. 2016 Dec;25(e2):e83–9.
13. Halonen JJ, Kivimäki M, Kouvonen A, Pentti J, Kawachi I, Subramanian SV, et al. Proximity to a tobacco store and smoking cessation: a cohort study. *Tob Control*. 2014 Mar;23(2):146–51.
14. Golden SD, Kuo TM, Combs T, Kong AY, Ribisl KM, Baggett CD. Supply and demand effects between tobacco retailer density and smoking prevalence. *Tob Control*. 2024 Aug 12; Published online first.

15. Kong AY, Henriksen L. Retail endgame strategies: reduce tobacco availability and visibility and promote health equity. *Tob Control*. 2022 Mar;31(2):243–9.
16. Marsh L, Doscher C, Cameron C, Robertson L, Petrović-van der Deen FS. How would the tobacco retail landscape change if tobacco was only sold through liquor stores, petrol stations or pharmacies? *Aust N Z J Public Health*. 2020 Feb;44(1):34–9.
17. American Nonsmokers' Rights Foundation. Tobacco-Free Pharmacies [Internet]. 2019. Available from: <https://no-smoke.org/tobacco-free-pharmacies/>
18. Public Health Law Center. Local Retail Environment Policies in New York State [Internet]. 2024. Available from: <https://www.publichealthlawcenter.org/resources/local-retail-environment-policies-new-york-state>
19. Petrović-van der Deen FS, Wilson N. Restricting tobacco sales to only pharmacies as an endgame strategy: are pharmacies likely to opt in? *Aust N Z J Public Health*. 2018 Apr;42(2):219–20.
20. Petrović-van der Deen FS, Blakely T, Kvizhinadze G, Cleghorn CL, Cobiac LJ, Wilson N. Restricting tobacco sales to only pharmacies combined with cessation advice: a modelling study of the future smoking prevalence, health and cost impacts. *Tob Control*. 2019 Nov;28(6):643–50.
21. Pidd H. What a drag ... Iceland considers prescription-only cigarettes. *The Guardian* [Internet]. 2011 Jul 4; Available from: <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2011/jul/04/iceland-considers-prescription-only-cigarettes>
22. Javed N. Oregon lawmaker proposes making nicotine a controlled substance requiring a prescription. *Toronto Star* [Internet]. 2013 Jan 25; Available from: https://www.thestar.com/news/world/oregon-lawmaker-proposes-making-nicotine-a-controlled-substance-requiring-a-prescription/article_7284c285-332f-5df0-84c5-9edac5fc637a.html
23. Kang H, Steadman KJ, Hoek J, Hall WD, Garnter CE. Restricting supply of tobacco products to pharmacies: a scoping review. *Tob Control*. 2024 Apr 11; Published online first.
24. Combs TB, McKay VR, Ornstein J, Mahoney M, Cork K, Brosi D, et al. Modelling the impact of menthol sales restrictions and retailer density reduction policies: insights from Tobacco Town Minnesota. *Tob Control*. 2020 Sep;29(5):502–9.
25. Kingsley M, Song G, Robertson J, Henley P, Ursprung WWS. Impact of flavoured tobacco restriction policies on flavoured product availability in Massachusetts. *Tob Control*. 2020 Mar;29(2):175–82.
26. Wang L, Lu B, Wewers ME, Foraker RE, Xie M, Ferketich AK. Are retailers compliant with zoning regulations that ban tobacco sales near schools in Changsha, China? *Tob Control*. 2017 Jul;26(4):446–51.
27. Youth Leadership Institute, Tobacco Use Reduction Force. Reducing tobacco retail density in San Francisco: a case study. 2016; Available from: <https://sanfranciscotobaccofreeproject.org/case-studies/reducing-tobacco-retail-density-in-san-francisco/>
28. SFHIP. Tobacco use and exposure [Internet]. 2022. Available from: <https://sfhip.org/chna/community-health-data/tobacco-use-and-exposure/>
29. Huber L, Megina R, Fernández E, Martínez C. Blog - Tobacco Control. 2022. 129 organizations send letter to Spanish President denouncing Spain's intention to become a "tobacco dealer state" along French Border. Available from: <https://blogs.bmj.com/tc/2022/09/09/129-organizations-send-letter-to-spanish-president-denouncing-spains-intention-to-become-a-tobacco-dealer-state-along-french-border/>

30. Valiente R, Sureda X, Bilal U, Navas-Acien A, Pearce J, Franco M, et al. Regulating the local availability of tobacco retailing in Madrid, Spain: a GIS study to evaluate compliance. *Tob Control*. 2019 May;28(3):325–33.
31. McDaniel P, Smith E, Malone R. The evidence for the endgame: a white paper [Internet]. San Francisco, CA: University of California, San Francisco; 2024. Available from: <https://endtobaccoca.ash.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/07/upendtobacco-endgame-whitepaper-office-print-24-0628-FINAL.pdf>
32. Vyas P, Sturrock H, Ling PM. Examining the role of a retail density ordinance in reducing concentration of tobacco retailers. *Spat Spatio-Temporal Epidemiol*. 2020 Feb;32:100307.
33. Myers AE, Hall MG, Isgett LF, Ribisl KM. A comparison of three policy approaches for tobacco retailer reduction. *Prev Med*. 2015 May;74:67–73.
34. Obinwa U, Pasch KE, Jetelina KK, Ranjit N, Perez A, Perry C, et al. A simulation of the potential impact of restricting tobacco retail outlets around middle and high schools on tobacco advertisements. *Tob Control*. 2022 Jan;31(1):81–7.
35. Boyle J, Barsell DJ, Zhang JJ, Oliver JA, McClernon FJ, Dahman B, et al. Modeling the effects of policies that restrict tobacco retail outlets on prenatal smoke exposure and perinatal health care utilization. *Prev Sci*. 2024 Aug;25(6):910–8.
36. Ait Ouakrim, DA, Wilson, T, Waa, A, Maddox, R, Andrabi, H, Mishra, SR, et al. Tobacco endgame intervention impacts on health gains and Māori: non-Māori health inequity: a simulation study of the Aotearoa/New Zealand Tobacco Action Plan. *Tob Control*. 2024 Nov;33(e2):e173–84.
37. Andrew A. New Zealand’s world-first smokefree legislation “goes up in smoke”: a setback in ending the tobacco epidemic. *Health Policy*. 2024 Sep;147:105123.
38. National Alcohol Beverage Control Association. Control State Directory and Info [Internet]. 2024. Available from: <https://www.nabca.org/control-state-directory-and-info>
39. Smith EA, McDaniel PA, Malone RE. Should tobacco sales be restricted to state-run alcohol outlets? Perspectives from 10 US alcohol control states. *Addiction*. 2024 Jun;119(6):1048–58.
40. Miller T, Snowden C, Birckmayer J, Hendrie D. Retail alcohol monopolies, underage drinking, and youth impaired driving deaths. *Accid Anal Prev*. 2006 Nov;38(6):1162–7.
41. Siegel M, DeJong W, Albers AB, Naimi TS, Jernigan DH. Differences in liquor prices between control state-operated and license-state retail outlets in the United States. *Addiction*. 2013 Feb;108(2):339–47.
42. Smith EA, McDaniel PA, Hiilamo H, Malone RE. Policy coherence, integration, and proportionality in tobacco control: should tobacco sales be limited to government outlets? *J Public Health Policy*. 2017 Aug;38(3):345–58.
43. Kuipers MAG, Nuyts PAW, Willemsen MC, Kunst AE. Tobacco retail licencing systems in Europe. *Tob Control*. 2022 Nov;31(6):784–8.
44. Gong T, Lv J, Liu Q, Ren Y, Li L, Kawachi I, et al. Audit of tobacco retail outlets in Hangzhou, China. *Tob Control*. 2013 Jul;22(4):245–9.
45. Alaouie H, Branston JR, Bloomfield MJ. The Lebanese Regie state-owned tobacco monopoly: lessons to inform monopoly-focused endgame strategies. *BMC Public Health*. 2022 Aug;22(1):1632.

46. Joó T, Foley K, Brys Z, Rogers T, Szócska M, Bodrogi J, et al. Impact of regulatory tightening of the Hungarian tobacco retail market on availability, access and cigarette smoking prevalence of adolescents. *Tob Control*. 2024 Jan 19; Published online first.
47. Caceres L, Chaiton M. Hungary: state licensing for tobacco outlets. *Tob Control*. 2013 Aug;22:292–3.
48. Laki M. Restructuring and re-regulation of the Hungarian tobacco market. *Corvinus J Sociol Soc Policy*. 2015;6(2).
49. Giovenco DP, Morrison CN, Mehranbod CA, Spillane TE, Easter AG, Hernández D, et al. Impact and equity of New York City’s tobacco retail reduction initiative. *Am J Prev Med*. 2024 Feb;66(2):235–42.
50. Lawman HG, Henry KA, Scheeres A, Hillengas A, Coffman R, Strasser AA. Tobacco retail licensing and density 3 years after license regulations in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (2012-2019). *Am J Public Health*. 2020 Apr;110(4):547–53.
51. van Deelen TRD, Belmonte S, Veldhuizen EM, van den Putte B, Kunst AE, Kuipers MAG. Tobacco outlet availability, density, and proximity in rural areas in the Netherlands: an ex ante evaluation of the impact of tobacco sales bans. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2025 Apr;27(4):757–61.
52. Gartner C. Australian tobacco retailing laws. 2024 Sep 9; Available from: <https://osf.io/6ayvf/>
53. Greenhalgh EM, Parnell S, Hanley-Jones S. 11.9 Retail promotion and access. In: *Tobacco in Australia: facts and issues* [Internet]. Melbourne: Cancer Council Victoria; 2023. Available from: <https://www.tobaccoinaustralia.org.au/chapter-11-advertising/11-9-retail-promotion-and-access>
54. Brennan E, Ilchenko E, Scollo M, Durkin SJ, Wakefield MA. Public support for policies to phase out the retail sale of cigarettes in Australia: results from a nationally representative survey. *Tob Control*. 2023 Nov;32(6):790–4.
55. California Department of Health, California Tobacco Control Program. California tobacco facts and figures 2022 [Internet]. Sacramento, CA: California Department of Health; 2023 May. Available from: <https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CCDC/DCDC/CTCB/CDPH%20Document%20Library/ResearchandEvaluation/FactsandFigures/CaliforniaTobaccoFactsAndFigures2022.pdf>
56. Edwards R, Johnson E, Stanley J, Waa A, Ouimet J, Fong GT. Support for New Zealand’s Smokefree 2025 goal and key measures to achieve it: findings from the ITC New Zealand Survey. *Aust N Z J Public Health*. 2021 Dec;45(6):554–61.
57. Kim H, Gartner C, Edwards R, Puljević C, Morphet K, Kim DH, et al. Public support for tobacco endgame policies: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2025 Apr;27(4):586–97.
58. Craigmile PF, Onnen N, Schwartz E, Glasser A, Roberts ME. Evaluating how licensing-law strategies will impact disparities in tobacco retailer density: a simulation in Ohio. *Tob Control*. 2021 Dec;30(e2):e96–103.
59. Pearson AL, Deen FS van der, Wilson N, Cobiac L, Blakely T. Theoretical impacts of a range of major tobacco retail outlet reduction interventions: modelling results in a country with a smoke-free nation goal. *Tob Control*. 2015 Mar;24(e1):e32–8.
60. Paul CL, Mee KJ, Judd TM, Walsh RA, Tang A, Penman A, et al. Anywhere, anytime: retail access to tobacco in New South Wales and its potential impact on consumption and quitting. *Soc Sci Med*. 2010 Aug;71(4):799–806.

61. Wood LJ, Pereira G, Middleton N, Foster S. Socioeconomic area disparities in tobacco retail outlet density: a Western Australian analysis. *Med J Aust*. 2013;198(9):489–91.
62. Kite J, Rissel C, Greenaway M, Williams K. Tobacco outlet density and social disadvantage in New South Wales, Australia. *Tob Control*. 2014 Mar;23(2):181–2.
63. Reid RJ, Morton CM, Garcia-Reid P, Peterson NA, Yu D. Examining tobacco outlet concentration in New Jersey: does income and ethnicity matter? *J Ethn Subst Abuse*. 2013;12(3):197–109.
64. Yu D, Peterson NA, Sheffer MA, Reid RJ, Schneider JE. Tobacco outlet density and demographics: analysing the relationships with a spatial regression approach. *Public Health*. 2010 Jul;124(7):412–6.
65. Caryl FM, Pearce J, Reid G, Mitchell R, Shortt NK. Simulating the density reduction and equity impact of potential tobacco retail control policies. *Tob Control*. 2021 Dec;30(e2):e138–43.
66. Nian Q, Cohen J, Cui Y, Zhang S. Tobacco retailers around schools in 10 cities across China. *Tob Control*. 2023 Dec;33(1):74–85.
67. Halvorson-Fried SM, Kong AY, D'Angelo H, Delamater PL, Ribisl KM. Spatial clustering of tobacco retailers near US public schools. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2024 Jan;26(2):185–93.
68. Kong AY, Lee JGL, Halvorson-Fried SM, Sewell KB, Golden SD, Henriksen L, et al. Neighbourhood inequities in the availability of retailers selling tobacco products: a systematic review. *Tob Control*. 2024 Jun 27; Published online first.
69. Combs TB, Ornstein JT, Chaitan VL, Golden SD, Henriksen L, Luke DA. Draining the tobacco swamps: shaping the built environment to reduce tobacco retailer proximity to residents in 30 big US cities. *Health Place*. 2022 May;75:102815.
70. Marsh L, Cameron C, Quigg R, Wood S, Blank ML, Venter N, et al. Is the tobacco 'footfall' argument justified for tobacco purchases in New Zealand convenience stores? *Tob Control*. 2022 May;31(3):438–43.
71. Robertson L, Cameron C, Hoek JA, Sullivan T, Marsh L, Peterson E, et al. Prevalence and characteristics of tobacco purchases in convenience stores: results of a postpurchase intercept survey in Dunedin, New Zealand. *Tob Control*. 2019 Nov;28(6):696–700.
72. Lawman HG, Dolatshahi J, Mallya G, Veur SV, Coffman R, Bettigole C, et al. Characteristics of tobacco purchases in urban corner stores. *Tob Control*. 2018 Sep;27(5):592–5.
73. Hitchman SC, Calder R, Rooke C, McNeill A, Hitchman SC, Calder R, et al. Small retailers' tobacco sales and profit margins in two disadvantaged areas of England. *AIMS Public Health*. 2016;3(1):110–5.
74. Tunstall H, Shortt NK, Kong AY, Pearce J. Is tobacco a driver of footfall among small retailers? A geographical analysis of tobacco purchasing using electronic point of sale data. *Tob Control*. 2023 Nov;32(6):747–56.
75. Stop & Shop. Stop & Shop. 2024. Stop & Shop announces plans to stop selling tobacco products. Available from: <https://stopandshop.com/pages/stop-and-shop-press-release-tobacco-2024>
76. McDaniel PA, Malone RE. Why California retailers stop selling tobacco products, and what their customers and employees think about it when they do: case studies. *BMC Public Health*. 2011 Nov;11(1):848.
77. Watts C, Burton S, Phillips F, Kennington K, Scollo M, Lindorff K, et al. Understanding why some Australian retailers have stopped selling tobacco, some might and some are unlikely. *Tob Control*. 2020 Dec;29(e1):e63–70.

78. Melody SM, Martin-Gall VA, Veitch MG. Why Tasmanian retailers stop selling tobacco and implications for tobacco control. *Tob Control*. 2021 Sep;30(5):583–6.
79. Astor RL, Urman R, Barrington-Trimis JL, Berhane K, Steinberg J, Cousineau M, et al. Tobacco retail licensing and youth product use. *Pediatrics*. 2019 Feb;143(2):e20173536.
80. Physicians for a smoke free Canada, 2020. Tobacco Retailing: a scan of available regulatory approaches [Internet]. Physicians for a Smoke-Free Canada; 2020. Available from: <https://www.smoke-free.ca/SUAP/2020/Generalbackgroundonretail.pdf>
81. ChangeLab Solutions. Tobacco retailer licensing: an effective tool for public health [Internet]. 2018. Available from: https://www.changelabsolutions.org/sites/default/files/TobaccoRetailerLicensing-AnEffectiveToolforPublicHealth_FINAL_20180630_0.pdf
82. Bowden JA, Dono J, John DL, Miller CL. What happens when the price of a tobacco retailer licence increases? *Tob Control*. 2014 Mar;23(2):178–80.
83. Ziesing S, Ettridge K, Dono J, Luppino A, Miller C. Is it time to increase the cost of tobacco licences after 10 years of stagnation? *Aust N Z J Public Health*. 2023 Oct;47(5):100080.
84. Smith AL, Mhrshahi S, Freeman B. Exploring small retailers' perspectives on selling tobacco after the tripling of Tasmania's tobacco licence fee. *Tob Control*. 2024 Nov 12; Published online first.
85. Coxe N, Webber W, Burkhart J, Broderick B, Yeager K, Jones L, et al. Use of tobacco retail permitting to reduce youth access and exposure to tobacco in Santa Clara County, California. *Prev Med*. 2014 Oct;67 Suppl 1:S46–50.
86. Public Health Law Center, American Lung Association. Comprehensive tobacco retailer licensing ordinance [Internet]. 2020 Dec. Available from: <https://www.publichealthlawcenter.org/sites/default/files/resources/Comp-Tobacco-Retailer-License.pdf>
87. Zheng C, Pearce J, Feng Z. Potential impacts of spatial restrictions on tobacco retail availability in China: a simulation study in Shanghai. *Tob Control*. 2024 Jul;33(4):503–10.

(ii) Ban on incentives to retailers

1. World Health Organization. The WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control: an overview [Internet]. 2021. Available from: <https://fctc.who.int/resources/publications/i/item/9241591013>
2. Conference of the Parties to the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control. Guidelines for implementation of Article 13 [Internet]. World Health Organization; 2013. Available from: <https://fctc.who.int/resources/publications/m/item/tobacco-advertising-promotion-and-sponsorship>
3. Conference of the Parties to the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control. Tobacco advertising, promotion and sponsorship: depiction of tobacco in entertainment media. Report by the Convention Secretariat [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016 Nov. Available from: <https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/371900>
4. Reimold A, Lee J, Ribisl K. Tobacco company agreements with tobacco retailers for price discounts and prime placement of products and advertising: a scoping review. *Tob Control*. 2023 Sep;32(5):635–44.
5. Carter S. New frontier, new power: the retail environment in Australia's dark market. *Tob Control*. 2003 Dec;12(Suppl 3):iii95–101.

6. Watts C, Burton S, Freeman B, Phillips F, Kennington K, Scollo M, et al. 'Friends with benefits': how tobacco companies influence sales through the provision of incentives and benefits to retailers. *Tob Control*. 2020 Dec;29(e1):e119–23.
7. Watts C, Burton S, Freeman B. 'The last line of marketing': covert tobacco marketing tactics as revealed by former tobacco industry employees. *Glob Public Health*. 2021 Jul;16(7):1000–13.
8. Callard CD, Collishaw N. Cigarette pricing 1 year after new restrictions on tobacco industry retailer programmes in Quebec, Canada. *Tob Control*. 2019 Sep;28(5):562–5.
9. Welker M. The architecture of cigarette circulation: marketing work on Indonesia's retail infrastructure. *J R Anthropol Inst*. 2018 Dec;24(4):669–91.
10. Lee S, Lee K, Holden C. Creating demand for foreign brands in a 'home run' market: tobacco company tactics in South Korea following market liberalisation. *Tob Control*. 2014 May;23(3):e8.
11. Hwang J, Oh Y, Yang Y, Lee S, Lee J, Cho S. Tobacco company strategies for maintaining cigarette advertisements and displays in retail chain stores: in-depth interviews with Korean convenience store owners. *Tob Induc Dis*. 2018 Oct;16:46.
12. Robertson L, Marsh L, Hoek J, McGee R, Egan R. Regulating the sale of tobacco in New Zealand: a qualitative analysis of retailers' views and implications for advocacy. *Int J Drug Policy*. 2015 Dec;26(12):1222–30.
13. Rooke C, Cheeseman H, Dockrell M, Millward D, Sandford A. Tobacco point-of-sale displays in England: a snapshot survey of current practices. *Tob Control*. 2010 Aug;19(4):279–84.
14. Stead M, Eadie D, Purves RI, Moodie C, Haw S. Tobacco companies' use of retailer incentives after a ban on point-of-sale tobacco displays in Scotland. *Tob Control*. 2018 Jul;27(4):414–9.
15. Cummings K, Sciandra R, Lawrence J. Tobacco advertising in retail stores. *Public Health Rep*. 1991 Oct;106(5):570–5.
16. Feighery E, Ribisl K, Archabal D, Tyebjee T. Retail trade incentives: how tobacco industry practices compare with those of other industries. *Am J Public Health*. 1999 Oct;89(10):1567–1566.
17. Weinbaum Z, Quinn V, Rogers T, Roeseler A. Store tobacco policies: a survey of store managers, California, 1996-1997. *Tob Control*. 1999;8(3):306–10.
18. Lee R, Feighery E, Schleicher N, Halvorson S. The relation between community bans of self-service tobacco displays and store environment and between tobacco accessibility and merchant incentives. *Am J Public Health*. 2001 Dec;91(12):2019–21.
19. Bloom PN. Role of slotting fees and trade promotions in shaping how tobacco is marketed in retail stores. *Tob Control*. 2001 Dec;10(4):340–4.
20. Feighery E, Ribisl K, Clark P, Haladjian H. How tobacco companies ensure prime placement of their advertising and products in stores: interviews with retailers about tobacco company incentive programmes. *Tob Control*. 2003 Jun;12(2):184–8.
21. Feighery EC, Ribisl KM, Schleicher NC, Clark PI. Retailer participation in cigarette company incentive programs is related to increased levels of cigarette advertising and cheaper cigarette prices in stores. *Prev Med*. 2004 Jun;38(6):876–84.
22. Feighery E, Ribisl K, Schleicher N, Zellers L, Wellington N. How do minimum cigarette price laws affect cigarette prices at the retail level? *Tob Control*. 2005;14:80–5.
23. Lavack AM, Toth G. Tobacco point-of-purchase promotion: examining tobacco industry documents. *Tob Control*. 2006 Oct;15(5):377–84.

24. Feighery E, Schleicher N, Ribisl K, Rogers T. An examination of the effect on cigarette prices and promotions of Philip Morris USA penalties to stores that sell cigarettes to minors. *Tob Control*. 2009 Dec;18(6):502–4.
25. John R, Cheney MK, Azad MR. Point-of-sale marketing of tobacco products: taking advantage of the socially disadvantaged? *J Health Care Poor Underserved*. 2009 May;20(2):489–506.
26. Chan A, Douglas MR, Ling PM. Oklahoma retailers' perspectives on mutual benefit exchange to limit point-of-sale tobacco advertisements. *Health Promot Pract*. 2015 Sep;16(5):699–706.
27. Pinard C, Fricke H, Smith T, Carpenter L, Yaroch A. The future of the small rural grocery store: a qualitative exploration. *Am J Health Behav*. 2016 Nov;40(6):749–60.
28. Chavez G, Minkler M, McDaniel P, Estrada J, Thayer R, Falbe J. Retailers' perspectives on selling tobacco in a low-income San Francisco neighbourhood after California's \$2 tobacco tax increase. *Tob Control*. 2019 Nov;28(6):657–62.
29. Apollonio D, Glantz S. Tobacco industry promotions and pricing after tax increases: an analysis of internal industry documents. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2020 May;22(6):967–74.
30. D'Angelo H, Ayala G, Gittelsohn J, Laska M. An analysis of small retailers' relationships with tobacco companies in 4 US cities. *Tob Regul Sci*. 2020 Jan;6(1):3–14.
31. Yerger VB, Przewoznik J, Malone RE. Racialized geography, corporate activity, and health disparities: tobacco industry targeting of inner cities. *J Health Care Poor Underserved*. 2007 Nov;18(4 Suppl):10–38.
32. Richardson E. Health policy brief. The Physician Payments Sunshine Act. [Internet]. 2014. Available from: <https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/10.1377/hpb20141002.272302/full/>
33. Tobacco Control Legal Consortium. Sunshine laws: requiring reporting of tobacco industry price discounting and promotional allowance payments to retailers and wholesalers [Internet]. 2017. Available from: <https://tobaccopolicycenter.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/338.pdf>
34. Feighery E, Rogers T, Ribisl K. Tobacco Retail Price Manipulation Policy Strategy Summit proceedings [Internet]. 2009. Available from: <https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CCDPHP/DCDIC/CTCB/CDPH%20Document%20Library/Policy/RetailStrategies/CTCPPriceStrategySummit2009.pdf>
35. Campaign for Tobacco-Free Kids. Tobacco Control Laws. 2019. Tobacco control laws. Australia. Available from: <https://www.tobaccocontrolaws.org/legislation/australia/summary>
36. City and County of San Francisco. San Francisco Tobacco Control Laws. Tobacco Promotion and Sponsorship. 2004. Ban on tobacco advertising in the city: SF Police Code Article 10, Sec. 674 (2004). Available from: <https://sanfranciscotobaccofreeproject.org/wp-content/uploads/SF-Police-Code-Article-10-Sec-674-2004.pdf>
37. World Health Organization. WHO report on the global tobacco epidemic, 2023: protect people from tobacco smoke [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2023 Jul. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240077164>

38. World Bank Group. Curbing the epidemic - governments and the economics of tobacco control [Internet]. Washington, DC: World Bank Group; 1999 May. Report No.: 19638. Available from: <https://documents.worldbank.org/en/publication/documents-reports/documentdetail/914041468176678949/curbing-the-epidemic-governments-and-the-economics-of-tobacco-control>
39. Saffer H. Chapter 9: Tobacco advertising and promotion. In: Tobacco control in developing countries [Internet]. New York: Oxford University Press on behalf of WHO and the World Bank; 2000. Available from: <https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/602821468330954036/pdf/709670WP0tobac00Box370064B00PUBLIC0.pdf>
40. World Health Organization. The Global Health Observatory. 2025. Tobacco control: enforce bans on tobacco advertising: promotion and sponsorship. Available from: <https://www.who.int/data/gho/data/themes/topics/indicator-groups/indicator-group-details/GHO/gho-tobacco-control-enforce-bans-promotion-and-sponsorship>
41. International Agency for Research on Cancer. Effectiveness of tax and price policies for tobacco control [Internet]. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2011. (IARC Handbooks of Cancer Prevention. Tobacco Control; vol. 14). Available from: <https://publications.iarc.fr/Book-And-Report-Series/Iarc-Handbooks-Of-Cancer-Prevention/Effectiveness-Of-Tax-And-Price-Policies-For-Tobacco-Control-2011>
42. Moodie C, Hastings G. Making the pack the hero, tobacco industry response to marketing restrictions in the UK: findings from a long-term audit. *Int J Ment Health Addict*. 2011;9:24–38.
43. Expert Group on Tobacco Advertising, Promotion and Sponsorship. Report of the Expert Group on tobacco advertising, promotion and sponsorship: depiction of tobacco in entertainment media (Article 13 report prepared for the WHO FCTC Conference of the Parties, Eighth session, Geneva, Switzerland, 1-6 October 2018) [Internet]. 2018 Oct. Available from: <https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/371014/fctc-cop8-suppl-inf-2-en.pdf?sequence=1>
44. Government of Canada. Tobacco Reporting Regulations. SOR/2000-273 [Internet]. SOR/2000-273 May 23, 2018. Available from: <https://www.tobaccocontrollaws.org/files/live/Canada/Canada%20-%20Reporting%20Regs%20-%20national.pdf>
45. Blecher E. The impact of tobacco advertising bans on consumption in developing countries. *J Health Econ*. 2008 Jul;27(4):930–42.
46. Azzahro EA, Dewi DMSK, Puspikawati SI, Handayani RP, Ayodya G, Prayogi ARY, et al. Two tobacco retailer programmes in Banyuwangi, Indonesia: a qualitative study. *Tob Control*. 2021 Nov;30(e1):e50–5.
47. Dai H, Catley D. The effects of assurances of voluntary compliance on retail sales to minors in the United States: 2015–2016. *Prev Med*. 2018 Jun;111:410–4.
48. Henriksen L, Feighery EC, Wang Y, Fortmann SP. Association of retail tobacco marketing with adolescent smoking. *Am J Public Health*. 2004 Dec;94(12):2081–3.
49. African American Tobacco Control Leadership Council, American Cancer Society Cancer Action Network, American Heart Association, American Lung Association, Campaign for Tobacco-Free Kids, Center for Black Health and Equity, et al. Deadly alliance. How Big Tobacco and convenience store partner to hook kids and fight life-saving policies [Internet]. 2023. Available from: <https://www.tobaccofreekids.org/what-we-do/industry-watch/deadly-alliance>

50. McDaniel PA, Smith EA, Malone RE. The evidence for the endgame [Internet]. San Francisco, CA: University of California; 2024. Available from: <https://endtobaccoca.ash.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/The-Evidence-for-the-Endgame.pdf>
51. DeLong H, Chriqui J, Gourdet C, Leider J, Chaloupka F. Tobacco product pricing laws: a state-by-state analysis, 2015 [Internet]. Chicago: University of Illinois; 2016 Sep. Available from: https://www.economicsforhealth.org/files/research/321/tobacconomics_mpl_chartbook_FINAL-VERSION.pdf
52. Government of Quebec. Tobacco Control Act [Internet]. L-6.2 2015. Available from: <https://www.legisquebec.gouv.qc.ca/en/document/cs/l-6.2>

(iii) Price controls for tobacco products and devices (“minimum price policies”)

1. World Health Organization. The Global Health Observatory. 2021. Tobacco: minimum price policy. Available from: <https://www.who.int/data/gho/indicator-metadata-registry/imr-details/5595>
2. McLaughlin I, Pearson A, Laird-Metke E, Ribisl K. Reducing tobacco use and access through strengthened minimum price laws. *Am J Public Health*. 2014 Oct;104(10):1844–50.
3. ChangeLab Solutions. Point-of sale tobacco pricing policies. Equity, public health and legal considerations [Internet]. 2019. Available from: <https://www.changelabsolutions.org/product/point-sale-tobacco-pricing-policies>
4. Public Health Law Center, American Lung Association. Comprehensive minimum prices and packaging guidance and considerations. Guidance and considerations [Internet]. 2024 Jun. Available from: <https://www.publichealthlawcenter.org/sites/default/files/resources/CA-Comprehensive-Min-Pricing-Pkg.pdf>
5. World Health Organization. The WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control: an overview [Internet]. 2021. Available from: <https://fctc.who.int/resources/publications/i/item/9241591013>
6. Conference of the Parties to the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control. Guidelines for implementation of Article 6 [Internet]. World Health Organization; 2017. Available from: <https://fctc.who.int/resources/publications/m/item/price-and-tax-measures-to-reduce-the-demand-for-tobacco>
7. Ribisl KM, Golden SD, Huang J, Scollo M. Addressing lower-priced cigarette products through three-pronged comprehensive regulation on excise taxes, minimum price policies and restrictions on price promotions. *Tob Control*. 2022 Mar;31(2):229–34.
8. McDaniel P, Smith E, Malone R. The evidence for the endgame: a white paper [Internet]. San Francisco, CA: University of California, San Francisco; 2024. Available from: <https://endtobaccoca.ash.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/07/upendtobacco-endgame-whitepaper-office-print-24-0628-FINAL.pdf>
9. Doogan NJ, Wewers ME, Berman M. The impact of a federal cigarette minimum pack price policy on cigarette use in the USA. *Tob Control*. 2018 Mar;27(2):203–8.
10. International Agency for Research on Cancer. IARC handbooks of cancer prevention, Tobacco control, Volume 14: Effectiveness of tax and price policies for tobacco control [Internet]. Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2011. Available from: <https://publications.iarc.fr/Book-And-Report-Series/Iarc-Handbooks-Of-Cancer-Prevention/Effectiveness-Of-Tax-And-Price-Policies-For-Tobacco-Control-2011>

11. World Health Organization. WHO report on the global tobacco epidemic, 2015: raising taxes on tobacco [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2015 Mar. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241509121>
12. Chaloupka F, Yurekli A, Fong G. Tobacco taxes as a tobacco control strategy. *Tob Control*. 2012 Mar;21(2):172–80.
13. Mostafa A, Chalak A, Nakkash R, Ablal R, Khader Y, Abu-Rmeileh N, et al. Health and economic impacts of introducing specific excise tax to waterpipe tobacco in Egypt: a simulation model of simple and mixed tax policy approaches. *BMJ Glob Health*. 2023 Oct;8(Suppl 8):e012048.
14. Jawad M, Awawda S, Ablal R, Chalak A, Khader Y, Nakkash R, et al. Impact of waterpipe tobacco taxation on consumption, government revenue and premature deaths averted in Jordan, Lebanon and Palestine: a simulation study. *Tob Control*. 2024 Mar;33(e1):e85–90.
15. Kengadaran S, Divvi A. Disparity between cigarette and smokeless tobacco taxation among various WHO FCTC Parties. *Tob Prev Cessat*. 2020 Nov;6:65.
16. Nargis N, Stoklosa M, Shang C, Drope J. Price, income, and affordability as the determinants of tobacco consumption: a practitioner's guide to tobacco taxation. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2021 Jan;23(1):40–7.
17. Kyriakos C, Ahmad A, Chang K, Filippidis F. Price differentials of tobacco products: a cross-sectional analysis of 79 countries from the six WHO regions. *Tob Induc Dis*. 2021 Oct;19:80.
18. Cho A, Lim C, Sun T, Chan G, Gartner C. The effect of tobacco tax increase on price-minimizing tobacco purchasing behaviours: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Addiction*. 2024 Nov;119(11):1923–36.
19. Sheikh Z, Branston J, Gilmore A. Tobacco industry pricing strategies in response to excise tax policies: a systematic review. *Tob Control*. 2023 Mar;32(2):239–50.
20. Ollila H, Ruokolainen O, Laatikainen T, Koprivnikar H, JATC-2 WP9 co-authors. Tobacco endgame goals and measures in Europe: current status and future directions. *Tob Control*. 2024 Jun 17; Published online first.
21. Golden SD, Farrelly MC, Luke DA, Ribisl KM. Comparing projected impacts of cigarette floor price and excise tax policies on socioeconomic disparities in smoking. *Tob Control*. 2016 Oct;25(Suppl 1):i60–6.
22. Edwards R, Johnson E, Stanley J, Waa A, Ouimet J, Fong GT. Support for New Zealand's Smokefree 2025 goal and key measures to achieve it: findings from the ITC New Zealand Survey. *Aust N Z J Public Health*. 2021 Dec;45(6):554–61.
23. JATC-2. WP9 Indicator Compendium [Internet]. European Union's Health Programme; 2023. Available from: <https://jaotc.eu/useful-material-jatc-2/>
24. Drope J. Going beyond the WHO Health Organization Framework Convention on Tobacco Control: an environmental scan. Working paper prepared for Health Canada. [Internet]. Health Canada; 2022. Available from: <https://ash.org/going-beyond-the-world-health-organization-framework-convention-on-tobacco-control-an-environmental-scan/>
25. Physicians for a Smoke-Free Canada, Coalition Québécoise pour le Contrôle de Tabac. Canada's cheap cigarettes. Why they are a problem. What can be done about them. [Internet]. 2017. Available from: https://www.smoke-free.ca/pdf_1/2017/CanadasCheapCigarettes-June2017.pdf

26. Steering Committee, Action Groups. A tobacco endgame for Canada. Background paper prepared for Summit hosted by Queen's University [Internet]. 2016. Available from: <https://www.queensu.ca/gazette/sites/default/files/assets/attachments/EndgameSummit-Backgroundpaper%20.pdf>
27. Malone R, McDaniel PA, Smith EA. Tobacco control endgames: global initiatives and implications for the UK [Internet]. Cancer Research UK; 2014 Jul. Available from: http://www.cancerresearchuk.org/sites/default/files/policy_july2014_fullendgame_report.pdf
28. Government of Scotland. Raising Scotland's tobacco-free generation: our tobacco control action plan 2018. [Internet]. 2018. Available from: <https://www.gov.scot/publications/raising-scotlands-tobacco-free-generation-tobacco-control-action-plan-2018/>
29. Gillespie D, Morris D, Angus C, Wilson L, Chen R, Leeming G, et al. Model-based appraisal of the potential effects of minimum pricing for tobacco in Scotland [Internet]. Public Health Scotland; 2024 Oct. Available from: <https://publichealthscotland.scot/media/29686/20241015-scottobmup-report-formatting-final.pdf>
30. Liber AC, Ross H, Omar M, Chaloupka FJ. The impact of the Malaysian minimum cigarette price law: findings from the ITC Malaysia Survey. Tob Control. 2015 Jul;24(Supplement 3):iii83–7.
31. Scollo M, Branston JR. Where to next for countries with high tobacco taxes? The potential for greater control of tobacco pricing through licensing regulation. Tob Control. 2022 Mar;31(2):235–40.
32. Ministry of National Food Security and Research Government of Pakistan. Minimum Indicative Prices (MIP) and Federal Tobacco Cess (FTC) rates 2024 [Internet]. 2024. Available from: <https://ptb.gov.pk/minimum-indicative-prices-mip-and-federal-tobacco-cess-ftc-rates-2024>
33. Social Policy and Development Centre. The extent of illicit trade of cigarettes in Pakistan [Internet]. 2024 Jun. Available from: <https://www.economicsforhealth.org/files/research/929/6687c816d7b6a-spdc-pb-illicit-trade-final.pdf>
34. Tobacconomics, National Cancer Institute of Brazil, World Health Organization. Cigarette tax policy in Brazil: recent trends, current challenges, and ways forward [Internet]. Chicago, IL: Tobacconomics, Health Policy Center, Institute for Health Research and Policy, University of Illinois at Chicago; 2020. Available from: <https://www.economicsforhealth.org/files/research/653/uic-brazil-policy-brief-v4.1-1.pdf>
35. DeLong H, Chiqui J, Gourdet C, Leider J, Chaloupka F. Tobacco product pricing laws: a state-by-state analysis, 2015 [Internet]. Chicago: University of Illinois; 2016 Sep. Available from: https://www.economicsforhealth.org/files/research/321/tobacconomics_mpl_chartbook_FINAL-VERSION.pdf
36. Golden SD, Kim K, Kong AY, Tao VQ, Carr D, Musburger P. Simulating the impact of a cigarette minimum floor price law on adult smoking prevalence in California. Nicotine Tob Res. 2020 Oct;22(10):1842–50.
37. Henriksen L, Andersen-Rodgers E, Zhang X, Roeseler A, Sun DL, Johnson TO, et al. Neighborhood variation in the price of cheap tobacco products in California: results from healthy stores for a healthy community. Nicotine Tob Res. 2017 Nov;19(11):1330–7.

38. Cantrell J, Kreslake J, Ganz O, Pearson J, Vallone D, Anesetti-Rothermel A, et al. Marketing little cigars and cigarillos: advertising, price, and associations with neighborhood demographics. *Am J Public Health*. 2013 Oct;103(10):1902–9.
39. Brown-Johnson CG, England LJ, Glantz SA, Ling PM. Tobacco industry marketing to low socioeconomic status women in the USA. *Tob Control*. 2014 Nov;23(e2):e139–46.
40. Boettiger DC, White JS. Effects of a minimum floor Price law on cigarette use in Oakland, California: a static microsimulation model. *Prev Med*. 2021 Apr;145:106444.
41. Schroth KRJ. Increasing price and reducing access to tobacco in New York City. *J Law Med Ethics*. 2019 Jun;47(Suppl 2):87–90.
42. Apollonio DE, Glantz S. Tobacco manufacturer lobbying to undercut minimum price laws: an analysis of internal industry documents. *Tob Control*. 2020 Dec;29(e1):e10–7.
43. Henriksen L, Schleicher NC, Barker D, Liu Y, Chaloupka FJ. Prices for tobacco and nontobacco products in pharmacies versus other stores: results from retail marketing surveillance in California and in the United States. *Am J Public Health*. 2016 Oct;106(10):1858–64.
44. World Health Organization. WHO technical manual on tobacco tax administration. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2010 p. 129.
45. Government of Brazil. Tributação IPI Cigarros MP 540/2011 Decreto 7.555/2011 [Internet]. Aug 22, 2011. Available from: <https://legislacao.presidencia.gov.br/atos/?tipo=DEC&numero=7555&ano=2011&ato=07aQzZE9UMVpWT894>
46. Szklo AS, de Souza MC, Szklo M, de Almeida LM. Smokers in Brazil: who are they? *Tob Control*. 2016 Sep;25(5):564–70.
47. Iglesias R. Increasing excise taxes in the presence of an illegal cigarette market: the 2011 Brazil tobacco tax reform. *Rev Panam Salud Publica*. 2016 Oct;40(4):243–9.
48. Szklo AS, Drope J. The cigarette market in Brazil: new evidence on illicit practices from the 2019 National Health Survey. *Tob Control*. 2024 Jun 4;33(Suppl 2):s128–34.

(iv) Birthdate-based sales restrictions (BSRs) (“tobacco-free generation”)

1. Khoo D, Chiam Y, Ng P, Berrick AJ, Koong HN. Phasing-out tobacco: proposal to deny access to tobacco for those born from 2000. *Tob Control*. 2010 Oct;19(5):355–60.
2. Berrick J. Drawing on adolescent psychology to achieve tobacco-free generations. *Public Health Rev*. 2022 Aug;43:1604321.
3. Ali FRM, Rice K, Fang X, Xu X. Tobacco 21 policies in California and Hawaii and sales of cigarette packs: a difference-in-differences analysis. *Tob Control*. 2020 Sep;29(5):588–92.
4. Friedman AS, Wu RJ. Do local Tobacco-21 laws reduce smoking among 18 to 20 year-olds? *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2020 Jun;22(7):1195–201.
5. Tam J, Crippen A, Friedman A, Jeon J, Colston DC, Fleischer NL, et al. US Tobacco 21 policies and potential mortality reductions by state. *JAMA Health Forum*. 2024 Dec;5(12):e244445.
6. Hrywna M, Kong AY, Ackerman C, Hudson SV, Delnevo CD. Retailer compliance with Tobacco 21 in New Jersey, 2019–2020. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2022 Oct;5(10):e2235637.
7. Schiff S, Liu F, Cruz TB, Unger JB, Cwalina S, Leventhal A, et al. E-cigarette and cigarette purchasing among young adults before and after implementation of California’s Tobacco 21 policy. *Tob Control*. 2021 Mar;30(2):206–11.

8. Agaku I, Nkosi L, Agaku Q, Gwar J, Tsafa T. A rapid evaluation of the US Federal Tobacco 21 (T21) Law and lessons from statewide T21 policies: findings from population-level surveys. *Prev Chronic Dis*. 2022 Jun;19:E29.
9. Berrick J, Gkritza K. Adolescent noncompliance with age-specific versus universal US motorcycle helmet laws: systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Safety Res*. 2021 Feb;76:166–75.
10. Legal Information Institute. LII Legal Information Institute. 2024. Grandfathered in. Available from: https://www.law.cornell.edu/wex/grandfathered_in#:~:text=Grandfathered%20in%20refers%20to%20conduct,of%20prior%20laws%20or%20rules
11. Action on Smoking & Health. Progress on tobacco endgame around the world [Internet]. 2025. Available from: <https://ash.org/sunset-plans/>
12. Republic of Maldives, The President's Office. Press release. President ratifies Second Amendment to Tobacco Control Act, establishing a generational ban [Internet]. 2025. Available from: <https://presidency.gov.mv/Press/Article/33779>
13. Parliament South Australia. Tobacco and E-cigarette Products (Miscellaneous) Amendment Bill [Internet]. Jun 19, 2024. Available from: <https://hansardsearch.parliament.sa.gov.au/daily/uh/2024-06-19/55>
14. New Zealand Government, Ministry of Health. Smokefree Aotearoa: 2025 Action Plan [Internet]. New Zealand Government; Ministry of Health; 2021 Dec p. 1–36. Available from: <https://www.health.govt.nz/publications/smokefree-aotearoa-2025-action-plan>
15. Hoek J, Edwards R, Waa A, Ozarka E. High regret among people who smoke reinforces strong support for smokefree legislation. *Public Health Expert Briefing* [Internet]. 2024 Feb 21; Available from: <https://www.phcc.org.nz/briefing/high-regret-among-people-who-smoke-reinforces-strong-support-smokefree-legislation>
16. Hoek J, Bostic C, Wilson N, Sing F. World moving forward on the smokefree generation - Aotearoa NZ goes backwards. *Public Health Expert Briefing* [Internet]. 2024 Jun 20; Available from: <https://www.phcc.org.nz/briefing/world-moving-forward-smokefree-generation-aotearoa-nz-goes-backwards>
17. UK Government, Department of Health and Social Care. Policy paper. Stopping the start: our new plan to create a smokefree generation [Internet]. 2023 Oct. Available from: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/stopping-the-start-our-new-plan-to-create-a-smokefree-generation/stopping-the-start-our-new-plan-to-create-a-smokefree-generation>
18. UK Government, Department of Health and Social Care. The Tobacco and Vapes Bill: impact assessment [Internet]. 2024 Nov. Available from: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/the-tobacco-and-vapes-bill-impact-assessment>
19. Government Prince Edward Island. Consultation paper on wellness in Prince Edward Island [Internet]. 2024 May. Available from: <https://www.princeedwardisland.ca/en/publication/consultation-paper-on-wellness-in-prince-edward-island>
20. Government Newfoundland Labrador. News Releases, Health and Community Services. 2024. Public consultations beginning on legislative amendments on tobacco and other related products. Available from: <https://www.gov.nl.ca/releases/2024/health/0530n04/>
21. BC Lung Foundation. Smoke Free Generation [Internet]. 2024. Available from: <https://bclung.ca/lung-health/tobacco-vaping-cannabis/smoke-free-generation/>

22. New Zealand Ministry of Health. Regulatory impact statement: Smokefree Aotearoa Action Plan [Internet]. 2021 Nov. Available from: <https://www.health.govt.nz/information-releases/regulatory-impact-statement-smokefree-aotearoa-2025-action-plan>
23. Ait Ouakrim, DA, Wilson, T, Waa, A, Maddox, R, Andrabi, H, Mishra, SR, et al. Tobacco endgame intervention impacts on health gains and Māori: non-Māori health inequity: a simulation study of the Aotearoa/New Zealand Tobacco Action Plan. *Tob Control*. 2024 Nov;33(e2):e173–84.
24. Brandariz JR, Rungay H, Ayo-Yusuf O, Edwards R, Islami F, Liu S, et al. Estimated impact of a tobacco-elimination strategy on lung-cancer mortality in 185 countries: a population-based birth-cohort simulation study. *Lancet Public Health*. 2024 Oct;9(10):e745–54.
25. Ball J, Berrick J, Edwards R, Hoek J, Deen FP van der. Phasing out smoking: the tobacco-free generation policy [Internet]. 2021 May. Available from: <https://www.phcc.org.nz/briefing/phasing-out-smoking-tobacco-free-generation-policy>
26. Our World in Data. Deaths from smoking, by age, United States [Internet]. 2024. Available from: <https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/smoking-deaths-by-age?country=~USA>
27. Zeng Z, Cook AR, van der Eijk Y. What measures are needed to achieve a tobacco endgame target? A Singapore-based simulation study. *Tob Control*. 2023 Jun;33:745–51.
28. Singh A, Petrović-van der Deen FS, Carvalho N, Lopez AD, Blakely T. Impact of tax and tobacco-free generation on health-adjusted life years in the Solomon Islands: a multistate life table simulation. *Tob Control*. 2020 Jul;29(4):388–97.
29. Barnsley K. BMJ: Blogs. 2016. Australia: progress on Tasmania's tobacco free generation legislation. Available from: <https://blogs.bmj.com/tc/2016/07/15/australia-progress-on-tasmanias-tobacco-free-generation-legislation/>
30. Walters H, Walters J, Barnsley K. The Conversation. 2015. What's next for tobacco control? A smoke-free generation. Available from: <https://theconversation.com/whats-next-for-tobacco-control-a-smoke-free-generation-42248>
31. de Leon K, Sarita JT. BMJ: Blogs. 2020. The Philippines: pioneering the tobacco endgame. Available from: <https://blogs.bmj.com/tc/2020/01/13/the-philippines-pioneering-the-tobacco-endgame/>
32. Fong L. Commentary: Malaysia wasted an opportunity to be bold with smoke-free law. CNA [Internet]. 2023 Dec 8; Available from: <https://www.channelnewsasia.com/commentary/malaysia-smoking-vaping-ban-generation-legal-vape-3972256>
33. Hefler M, Bianco E, Bradbrook S, Arnold D, Dorotheo EU. What facilitates policy audacity in tobacco control? An analysis of approaches and supportive factors for innovation in seven countries. *Tob Control*. 2022 Mar;31(2):328–34.
34. Hawaii State Government. Hawaii Senate Bill 148 [Internet]. HI SB148 2024. Available from: <https://legiscan.com/HI/bill/SB148/2024>
35. Nevada Legislature. AB294 Overview [Internet]. 2023 Mar 14. Available from: <https://www.leg.state.nv.us/App/NELIS/REL/82nd2023/Bill/10115/Overview>
36. California Legislature. Assembly Bill No. 935 [Internet]. AB Oct 7, 2023. Available from: https://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=202320240AB935
37. Pisinger C, Rasmussen SB. Tobacco control in Denmark: how the ugly duckling turned into a swan. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2024 Sep;26(10):1339–46.

38. Trainer E, Gall S, Smith A, Terry K. Public perceptions of the tobacco-free generation in Tasmania: adults and adolescents. *Tob Control*. 2017 Jul;26(4):458–60.
39. Edwards R, Johnson E, Stanley J, Waa A, Ouimet J, Fong GT. Support for New Zealand's Smokefree 2025 goal and key measures to achieve it: findings from the ITC New Zealand Survey. *Aust N Z J Public Health*. 2021 Dec;45(6):554–61.
40. Hoek J, Lee E, Teddy L, Fenton E, Ball J, Edwards R. How do New Zealand youth perceive the smoke-free generation policy? A qualitative analysis. *Tob Control*. 2024 Apr;33(3):346–52.
41. Cosgrave EJ, Blake M, Murphy E, Sheridan A, Doyle F, Kavanagh P. Is the public ready for a tobacco-free Ireland? A national survey of public knowledge and attitudes to tobacco endgame in Ireland. *Tob Control*. 2024 Oct;19(33):807–12.
42. Wu YS, Wang MP, Ho SY, Cheung YT, Kwong A, Lai V, et al. Positive perceptions of electronic cigarettes relative to combustible cigarettes are associated with weaker support for endgame policies on combustible cigarettes: a population-based cross-sectional study in Hong Kong. *Tob Induc Dis*. 2019 Aug;17:61.
43. Kock L, Shahab L, Moore G, Shortt NK, Pearce J, Brown J. Assessing the profile of support for potential tobacco control policies targeting availability in Great Britain: a cross-sectional population survey. *Tob Control*. 2024 Mar;33(2):221–31.
44. Kim H, Gartner C, Edwards R, Puljević C, Morphet K, Kim DH, et al. Public support for tobacco endgame policies: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2025 Apr;27(4):586–97.
45. Smith EA, McDaniel PA, Malone RE. Leadership perceptions of endgame strategies for tobacco control in California. *J Public Health Manag Pract*. 2019 Dec;25(6):554–61.
46. Nuyts PAW, Hewer RMF, Kuipers MAG, Lorant V, Grard A, SILNE-R Consortium, et al. Youth access to cigarettes across seven European countries: a mixed-methods study. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2020 Oct;22(11):1989–96.
47. Ribisl KM. Social sources of cigarettes for youth: broadening the research base. *Tob Control*. 2003 Jun;12(2):115–6.
48. Croghan E, Aveyard P, Griffin C, Cheng KK. The importance of social sources of cigarettes to school students. *Tob Control*. 2003 Mar;12(1):67–73.
49. Forster J, Chen V, Blaine T, Perry C, Toomey T. Social exchange of cigarettes by youth. *Tob Control*. 2003 Jun;12(2):148–54.
50. Malone RE, McAfee T. Birthdate-based commercial tobacco sales restrictions: will 'tobacco-free generation' policies advance or delay the endgame? *Tob Control*. 2024 Jun 19; Published online first.
51. Yerger VB, Przewoznik J, Malone RE. Racialized geography, corporate activity, and health disparities: tobacco industry targeting of inner cities. *J Health Care Poor Underserved*. 2007 Nov;18(4 Suppl):10–38.
52. U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Tobacco - Health Equity. 2024. Health disparities related to commercial tobacco and advancing health equity: an overview. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/tobacco-health-equity/about/index.html>
53. Mills SD, Kong AY, Reimold AE, Baggett CD, Wiesen CA, Golden SD. Sociodemographic disparities in tobacco retailer density in the United States, 2000–2017. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2022 Jan;24(8): 1291–9.

(v) Increase in minimum legal age for sales of tobacco products

1. Lal P, Kapoor S, Dhankhar A, Yadav A. Raising the legal age for purchase and use of tobacco to 21 years: a step towards tobacco endgame in India. *Indian J Tuberc.* 2021; 68S:S65–70.
2. Lal P, Mitra A, Yadav A, Sarkar R, Hinderaker SG, Mukherjee N. Raising the age of purchase and use of tobacco product in Bangladesh: benefits and challenges in protecting youth from the tobacco epidemic. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2021 Nov;22(S2):65–70.
3. Singh L, Jain P, Kumar C, Singh A, Lal P, Yadav A, et al. Trends in age of tobacco use initiation over time in Bangladesh, India and Pakistan: analysis of cross-sectional nationally representative surveys. *BMJ Open.* 2023 Dec;13(12): e067875.
4. Yuan M, Cross SJ, Loughlin SE, Leslie FM. Nicotine and the adolescent brain. *J Physiol.* 2015 Aug; 593(16):3397–412.
5. Castro EM, Lotfipour S, Leslie FM. Nicotine on the developing brain. *Pharmacol Res.* 2023 Apr;190:106716.
6. Ren M, Lotfipour S, Leslie F. Unique effects of nicotine across the lifespan. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav.* 2022 Mar;214:173343.
7. Slotkin TA. If nicotine is a developmental neurotoxicant in animal studies, dare we recommend nicotine replacement therapy in pregnant women and adolescents? *Neurotoxicol Teratol.* 2008;30(1): 1–19.
8. McCarthy DM, Zhang L, Wilkes BJ, Vaillancourt DE, Biederman J, Bhide PG. Nicotine and the developing brain: insights from preclinical models. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav.* 2022 Mar; 214:173355.
9. Leslie FM. Unique, long-term effects of nicotine on adolescent brain. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav.* 2020 Oct;197:173010.
10. National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion (US) Office on Smoking and Health. Preventing tobacco use among youth and young adults: a report of the Surgeon General [Internet]. Atlanta, GA: National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion (US) Office on Smoking and Health; 2012. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK99237/>
11. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. E-cigarette use among youth and young adults: a report of the Surgeon General [Internet]. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health; 2016. Available from: https://archive.cdc.gov/www_cdc_gov/tobacco/sgr/e-cigarettes/index.htm
12. Apollonio DE, Glantz SA. Minimum ages of legal access for tobacco in the United States from 1863 to 2015. *Am J Public Health.* 2016 Jul;106(7):1200–7.
13. Reynolds MJ, Crane R, Winickoff JP. The emergence of the Tobacco 21 movement From Needham, Massachusetts, to throughout the United States (2003-2019). *Am J Public Health.* 2019 Nov;109(11):1540–7.
14. World Health Organization. 2023 global progress report on implementation of the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2023. Available from: <https://fctc.who.int/publications/m/item/2023-global-progress-report>

15. Kuipers MAG, Brandhof SD, Monshouwer K, Stronks K, Kunst AE. Impact of laws restricting the sale of tobacco to minors on adolescent smoking and perceived obtainability of cigarettes: an intervention-control pre-post study of 19 European Union countries. *Addiction*. 2017 Feb;112(2):320–9.
16. Preventing Tobacco Addiction Foundation. Tobacco 21. 2025. Tobacco 21: the law of the land. Available from: <https://tobacco21.org/>
17. Hudson SV, Kurti M, Howard J, Sanabria B, Schroth KRJ, Hrywna M, et al. Adoption of Tobacco 21: a cross-case analysis of ten US states. *IJERPH*. 2021 Jun;18(11):6096.
18. Berman ML. Raising the tobacco sales age to 21: surveying the legal landscape. *Public Health Rep*. 2016;131(2):378–81.
19. Ribisl KM, Mills SD. Explaining the rapid adoption of Tobacco 21 policies in the United States. *Am J Public Health*. 2019 Nov;109(11):1483–5.
20. Rep. DeGette D [D C 1. H.R.2411 - Tobacco to 21 Act [Internet]. 2019. Available from: <https://www.congress.gov/bill/116th-congress/house-bill/2411/text>
21. Office on Smoking and Health, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. STATE system minimum legal sales age (MLSA) laws for tobacco products fact sheet [Internet]. 2024. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/statesystem/factsheets/mlsa/Minimum-Legal-Sales-Age.html>
22. Davies N, Bogdanovica I, McGill S, Murray RL. What is the relationship between raising the minimum legal sales age of tobacco above 20 and cigarette smoking? A systematic review. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2024 Sep;ntae206.
23. Levy DT, Meza R. Tobacco 21 laws in Europe: a policy whose time has come. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2020 Jun;22(7):1250–1.
24. Nuyts PAW, Kuipers MAG, Willemsen MC, Kunst AE. An increase in the tobacco age-of-sale to 21: for debate in Europe. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2020 Jun;22(7):1247–9.
25. Committee on the Public Health Implications of Raising the Minimum Age for Purchasing Tobacco Products, Board on Population Health and Public Health Practice, Institute of Medicine. Public health implications of raising the minimum age of legal access to tobacco products [Internet]. Bonnie RJ, Stratton K, Kwan LY, editors. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2015. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK310412/>
26. Everett KD, Chadwick G, Cowan SR, Kinkade E. Tobacco control policy adoption dynamics: a case study of Missouri communities. *J Community Health*. 2018 Aug;43(4):802–9.
27. Friedman AS, Wu RJ. Do local Tobacco-21 laws reduce smoking among 18 to 20 year-olds? *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2020 Jun;22(7):1195–201.
28. Dove MS, Stewart SL, Tong EK. Smoking behavior in 18-20 year-olds after tobacco 21 policy implementation in California: a difference-in-differences analysis with other states. *Prev Med*. 2021 Jul;148:106553.
29. Hansen B, Sabia JJ, McNichols D, Bryan C. Do tobacco 21 laws work? *J Health Econ*. 2023 Dec;92:102818.
30. Kessel Schneider S, Buka SL, Dash K, Winickoff JP, O'Donnell L. Community reductions in youth smoking after raising the minimum tobacco sales age to 21. *Tob Control*. 2016 May;25(3):355–9.
31. Patel M, Simard BJ, Benson AF, Donovan EM, Pitzer L. Measuring the impact of state and local Tobacco 21 policies in the United States: a longitudinal study of youth and young adults ages 15-21. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2023 Mar;25(4):631–8.

32. Garney W, Panjwani S, King L, Enderle J, O'Neil D, Li Y. The health and economic impact of the Tobacco 21 Law in El Paso County, Texas: a modeling study. *Prev Med Rep.* 2022 Aug;28:101896.
33. Friedman AS, Buckell J, Sindelar JL. Tobacco-21 laws and young adult smoking: quasi-experimental evidence. *Addiction.* 2019 Oct;114(10):1816–23.
34. Schiff S, Liu F, Cruz TB, Unger JB, Cwalina S, Leventhal A, et al. E-cigarette and cigarette purchasing among young adults before and after implementation of California's Tobacco 21 policy. *Tob Control.* 2021 Mar;30(2):206–11.
35. Roberts ME, Keller-Hamilton B, Teferra AA. Tobacco 21's impact amid the e-cigarette surge. *Public Health Rep.* 2023;138(1):62–7.
36. Agaku IT, Nkosi L, Agaku QD, Gwar J, Tsafa T. A Rapid Evaluation of the US Federal Tobacco 21 (T21) Law and Lessons From Statewide T21 Policies: Findings From Population-Level Surveys. *Prev Chronic Dis.* 2022 Jun 2;19:E29.
37. Boyle RG, Kingsbury JH, Parks MJ. Raising the minimum legal sale age for Tobacco to 21: the estimated effect for Minnesota. *Minn Med.* 2017 Jan;100(1):35–7.
38. Friedman AS, Pesko MF. Tobacco 21 laws and youth tobacco use: the role of policy attributes. *Am J Public Health.* 2024 Jan;114(1):90–7.
39. Reid JL, Burkhalter R, Kasza K, Seo YS, East K, Hyland A, et al. Minimum legal age laws and perceived access to cigarettes, e-cigarettes, and other substances among youth in Canada, England, and the United States: 2017-2021. *Int J Drug Policy.* 2023 May;115:104003.
40. Sax JK, Doran N. Evaluation of risk perception of smoking after the implementation of California's Tobacco 21 Law. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2022 Dec;19(24):16971.
41. Dai H. Attitudes toward Tobacco 21 among US Youth. *Pediatrics.* 2017 Jul;140(1):e20170570.
42. Dai H, Chaney L, Ellerbeck E, Friggeri R, White N, Catley D. Youth knowledge of Tobacco 21 and its association with intention to use tobacco. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2021 Jan;23(2):341–8.
43. Ali FRM, Rice K, Fang X, Xu X. Tobacco 21 policies in California and Hawaii and sales of cigarette packs: a difference-in-differences analysis. *Tob Control.* 2020 Sep;29(5):588–92.
44. Trapl E, Pike Moore S, Osborn C, Gupta N, Love TE, Kinzy TG, et al. Evaluation of restrictions on tobacco sales to youth younger than 21 Years in Cleveland, Ohio, area. *JAMA Netw Open.* 2022 Jul;5(7):e2222987.
45. Abouk R, De PK, Pesko MF. Estimating the effects of tobacco-21 on youth tobacco use and sales. *J Health Econ.* 2024 Mar;94:102860.
46. Wilhelm AK, Kingsbury JH, Eisenberg ME, Shyne M, Helgertz S, Borowsky IW. Local Tobacco 21 policies are associated with lower odds of tobacco use among adolescents. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2022 Mar;24(4):478–83.
47. Grube JW, Lipperman-Kreda S, García-Ramírez G, Paschall MJ, Abadi MH. California's tobacco 21 minimum sales age law and adolescents' tobacco and nicotine use: differential associations among racial and ethnic groups. *Tob Control.* 2022 Dec;31(e2):e126–33.
48. Hansen B, Sabia JJ, McNichols D, Bryan C. Do tobacco 21 laws work? *J Health Econ.* 2023 Dec;92:102818.
49. Ali FRM, Rice K, Fang X, Xu X. Tobacco 21 policies in California and Hawaii and sales of cigarette packs: a difference-in-differences analysis. *Tob Control.* 2020 Sep;29(5):588–92.

50. Tam J, Crippen A, Friedman A, Jeon J, Colston DC, Fleischer NL, et al. US Tobacco 21 policies and potential mortality reductions by state. *JAMA Health Forum*. 2024 Dec;5(12):e244445.
51. Siegel LN, Levin AV, Kranzler EC, Gibson LA. Do longitudinal trends in Tobacco 21-related media coverage correlate with policy support? An exploratory analysis using supervised and unsupervised machine learning methods. *Health Commun*. 2022 Jan;37(1):29–38.
52. Roberts ME, Klein EG, Ferketich AK, Keller-Hamilton B, Berman ML, Chacko M, et al. Beyond strong enforcement: understanding the factors related to retailer compliance With Tobacco 21. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2021 Nov;23(12):2084–90.
53. Romm KF, Wang Y, Schleicher NC, Pannel A, Williams R, Berg CJ, et al. Rates of age verification for cigarette and e-cigarette purchases as a function of state T21 laws before and after implementation of the Federal T21 law in the United States. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2023 Jun;25(7):1386–90.
54. Silver D, Macinko J, Giorgio M, Bae JY, Jimenez G. Retailer compliance with tobacco control laws in New York City before and after raising the minimum legal purchase age to 21. *Tob Control*. 2016 Nov;25(6):624–7.
55. Landman A, Ling PM, Glantz SA. Tobacco industry youth smoking prevention programs: protecting the industry and hurting tobacco control. *Am J Public Health*. 2002 Jun;92(6):917–30.
56. Ling PM, Glantz SA. Why and how the tobacco industry sells cigarettes to young adults: evidence from industry documents. *Am J Public Health*. 2002 Jun;92(6):908–16.
57. Sepe E, Ling PM, Glantz SA. Smooth moves: bar and nightclub tobacco promotions that target young adults. *Am J Public Health*. 2002 Mar;92(3):414–9.
58. Balbach ED, Smith EA, Malone RE. How the health belief model helps the tobacco industry: individuals, choice, and “information.” *Tob Control*. 2006 Dec;15(Suppl 4):iv37-43.
59. Wakefield M, McLeod K, Perry CL. “Stay away from them until you’re old enough to make a decision”: tobacco company testimony about youth smoking initiation. *Tob Control*. 2006 Dec;15(Suppl 4):iv44-53.
60. Assunta M, Chapman S. Industry sponsored youth smoking prevention programme in Malaysia: a case study in duplicity. *Tob Control*. 2004 Dec;13(Suppl 2):ii37-42.
61. van der Eijk Y, Bialous SA, Glantz S. The tobacco industry and children’s rights. *Pediatrics*. 2018 May;141(5):e20174106.
62. National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health. The health consequences of smoking—50 years of progress: a report of the Surgeon General [Internet]. Atlanta, GA: US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2014. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK179276/>
63. Lal P, Kapoor S, Dhankhar A, Yadav A. Raising the legal age for purchase and use of tobacco to 21 years: a step towards tobacco endgame in India. *Indian J Tuberc*. 2021;68S:S65–70.
64. Cairney P, Studlar DT, Mamudu HM. Global tobacco control: power, policy, governance and transfer [Internet]. Palgrave MacMillan; 2012. Available from: <https://link.springer.com/book/10.1057/9780230361249>
65. Mamudu H, Cairney P, Studlar D. Global public policy: does the new venue for transnational tobacco control challenge the old way of doing things? *Public Adm*. 2015 Dec;93(4):856–73.

66. World Health Organization. WHO report on the global tobacco epidemic, 2023: protect people from tobacco smoke [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2023 Jul. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240077164>
67. Cox D, Rodriguez L, Grigg J, on behalf of the Tobacco Control Committee of the European Respiratory Society. Statement on Tobacco 21 from the European Respiratory Society Tobacco Control Committee. *Eur Respir J*. 2023;61(5):2350134.
68. All-Party Parliamentary Group on Smoking and Health. Delivering a Smokefree 2030: the All Party Parliamentary Group on Smoking and Health recommendations for the Tobacco Control Plan 2021 [Internet]. London: APPG on Smoking and Health; 2021 Jun. Available from: <https://ash.org.uk/resources/view/delivering-a-smokefree-2030-the-all-party-parliamentary-group-on-smoking-and-health-recommendations-for-the-tobacco-control-plan-2021>
69. Cosgrave EJ, Blake M, Murphy E, Sheridan A, Doyle F, Kavanagh P. Is the public ready for a tobacco-free Ireland? A national survey of public knowledge and attitudes to tobacco endgame in Ireland. *Tob Control*. 2024 Oct;19(33):807–12.
70. Gunasekaran K, Singh P, Ng DX, Koh EYL, Lee HY, Tan R, et al. Youths' awareness and attitudes towards raising the minimum legal age of smoking and passive smoking in Singapore. *Front Public Health*. 2024;12:1359929.
71. Morain SR, Garson A, Raphael JL. State-level support for Tobacco 21 laws: results of a five-state survey. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2018 Sep;20(11):1407–11.
72. Zhang X, Vuong TD, Andersen-Rodgers E, Roeseler A. Evaluation of California's "Tobacco 21" law. *Tob Control*. 2018 Nov;27(6):656–62.
73. Winickoff JP, McMillen R, Tanski S, Wilson K, Gottlieb M, Crane R. Public support for raising the age of sale for tobacco to 21 in the United States. *Tob Control*. 2016 May;25(3):284–8.
74. Hawkins SS, Chung-Hall J, Craig L, Fong GT, Borland R, Cummings KM, et al. Support for minimum legal sales age laws set to age 21 across Australia, Canada, England, and United States: findings from the 2018 ITC Four Country Smoking and Vaping Survey. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2020 Dec;22(12):2266–70.
75. King BA, Jama AO, Marynak KL, Promoff GR. Attitudes toward raising the minimum age of sale for tobacco among U.S. adults. *Am J Prev Med*. 2015 Oct;49(4):583–8.
76. Gentzke AS, Glover-Kudon R, Tynan M, Jamal A. Adults' attitudes toward raising the minimum age of sale for tobacco products to 21 years, United States, 2014–2017. *Prev Med*. 2020 Feb;133:106012.
77. Ickes MJ, Butler K, Wiggins AT, Rayens MK, Hahn EJ. Support for Tobacco 21 in a tobacco-growing state. *West J Nurs Res*. 2019 Aug;41(8):1203–15.
78. Lee JGL, Boynton MH, Richardson A, Jarman K, Ranney LM, Goldstein AO. Raising the legal age of tobacco sales: policy support and trust in government, 2014–2015, U.S. *Am J Prev Med*. 2016 Dec;51(6):910–5.
79. Boeckmann M, Kotz D, Shahab L, Brown J, Kastaun S. German public support for tobacco control policy measures: results from the German Study on Tobacco Use (DEBRA), a representative national survey. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2018 Apr;15(4):696.
80. Dobbs PD, Schisler E, Colditz JB, Primack BA. Miscommunication about the US federal Tobacco 21 law: a content analysis of Twitter discussions. *Tob Control*. 2023 Nov;32(6):696–700.
81. Hrywna M, Kong AY, Ackerman C, Hudson SV, Delnevo CD. Retailer compliance with Tobacco 21 in New Jersey, 2019–2020. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2022 Oct;5(10):e2235637.

82. Woolsey S, Ramos AK, Samson K, Catley D, King KM, Crane R, et al. Strengthening Tobacco 21 implementation and enforcement to reduce tobacco-related health disparities: a stakeholder engagement project. *Tob Prev Cessat.* 2023;9:20.
83. Lee JGL, Sesay M, van de Venne J, Kong AY, Hrywna M, Rose SW, et al. Retailers' compliance with federal age of cigarette sales policies - Pitt County, North Carolina, January-March 2022. *N C Med J.* 2024;85(3):49–53.
84. Nuyts PAW, Hewer RMF, Kuipers MAG, Lorant V, Grard A, SILNE-R Consortium, et al. Youth access to cigarettes across seven European countries: a mixed-methods study. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2020 Oct;22(11):1989–96.
85. Hrywna M, Ackerman C, Delnevo CD. A pilot study to examine retailer compliance before and after Tobacco 21 in New Jersey. *Health Behav Res.* 2022;5(2):5.
86. Schiff SJ, Kechter A, Simpson KA, Ceasar RC, Braymiller JL, Barrington-Trimis JL. Accessing vaping products when underage: a qualitative study of young adults in Southern California. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2021 May;23(5):836–41.
87. Gaiha SM, Lempert LK, Halpern-Felsher B. Underage youth and young adult e-cigarette use and access before and during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic. *JAMA Netw Open.* 2020 Dec;3(12):e2027572.
88. Marynak K, Mahoney M, Williams KAS, Tynan MA, Reimels E, King BA. State and territorial laws prohibiting sales of tobacco products to persons aged <21 years - United States, December 20, 2019. *Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2020 Feb 21;69(7):189–92.
89. O'Connor JC, MacNeil A, Chiqui JF, Tynan M, Bates H, Eidson SKS. Preemption of local smoke-free air ordinances: the implications of judicial opinions for meeting national health objectives. *J Law Med Ethics.* 2008;36(2):403–12.
90. Siegel M, Carol J, Jordan J, Hobart R, Schoenmarklin S, DuMelle F, et al. Preemption in tobacco control. Review of an emerging public health problem. *JAMA.* 1997 Sep 10;278(10):858–63.
91. Crosbie E, Schmidt LA. Preemption in tobacco control: a framework for other areas of public health. *Am J Public Health.* 2020 Mar;110(3):345–50.
92. Azagba S, Jensen JK, Ebling T, Hall M. E-cigarette preemption laws: limiting local communities from protecting youth. *Prev Med.* 2023 May;170:107462.
93. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). State preemption of local tobacco control policies restricting smoking, advertising, and youth access – United States, 2000–2010. *Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2011 Aug 26;60(33):1124–7.
94. Mowery PD, Babb S, Hobart R, Tworek C, MacNeil A. The impact of state preemption of local smoking restrictions on public health protections and changes in social norms. *J Environ Public Health.* 2012;2012:632629.
95. Mamudu HM, Osedeme F, Robertson C, Littleton MA, Owusu D, Wang L, et al. A qualitative study to explore perception of impacts of preemption of tobacco regulation on counties in Appalachian Tennessee. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2020 May;17(9):3230.
96. Mamudu HM, Veeranki SP. Tennessee's smokefree policy: it's time for local control. *Tenn Med.* 2013 Oct;106(9):37–41.
97. Leas EC, Schliecher N, Recinos A, Mahoney M, Henriksen L. State and regional gaps in coverage of "Tobacco 21" policies. *Tob Control.* 2020 Mar;29(2):226–7.
98. Friedman AS, Buckell J, Sindelar JL. Tobacco-21 laws and young adult smoking: quasi-experimental evidence. *Addiction.* 2019 Oct;114(10):1816–23.

99. Dai H, Chaney L, Ellerbeck E, Friggeri R, White N, Catley D. Rural-urban differences in changes and effects of Tobacco 21 in youth e-cigarette use. *Pediatrics*. 2021 May;147(5):e2020020651.
100. Colston DC, Xie Y, Patrick ME, Thrasher JF, Titus AR, Elliott MR, et al. Tobacco 21 laws may reduce smoking and tobacco-related health disparities among youth in the U.S. *Prev Med Rep*. 2022 Jun;27:101762.
101. Brown T, Platt S, Amos A. Equity impact of interventions and policies to reduce smoking in youth: systematic review. *Tob Control*. 2014 Nov;23(e2):e98-105.
102. Dai H, Tamrakar N, Rathnayake N, Samson K. Geographical distribution and social determinants of Tobacco 21 policy adoption and retail inspections in the United States, 2015-2019. *Tob Induc Dis*. 2021;19:55.
103. García-Ramírez G, Islam S, Wharton MK, Grube JW. Associations of California's Tobacco 21 minimum sales age law with tobacco use among sexual minority adolescents: a trends analysis. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2022 Oct;24(11):1834–9.

(vi) Ban/phase out sales of tobacco products

1. Givel MS. History of Bhutan's prohibition of cigarettes: implications for neo-prohibitionists and their critics. *Int J Drug Policy*. 2011 Jul;22(4):306–10.
2. Givel M. Tobacco policymaking and administration in Bhutan from 1991 to 2009. *Int J Public Adm*. 2011 Oct;34:775–82.
3. Aneja K, Gopal S. Bhutan reverses sales ban on tobacco [Internet]. *Tobacco Control Blog*. 2023. Available from: <https://blogs.bmj.com/tc/?p=1667>
4. Filby S, Zee K van der, Walbeek C van. The temporary ban on tobacco sales in South Africa: lessons for endgame strategies. *Tob Control*. 2022 Nov;31(6):694–700.
5. van Walbeek C, Hill R, Filby S. Quitting behavior during the tobacco sales ban in South Africa: results from a broadly nationally representative survey. *Tob Induc Dis*. 2023 Aug;21:102.
6. Saloojee Y, Mathee A. COVID-19 and a temporary ban on tobacco sales in South Africa: impact on smoking cessation. *Tob Control*. 2022 Dec;31(e2):e207–10.
7. Ahmad K. The end of tobacco sales in Bhutan. *Lancet Oncol*. 2005 Feb;6(2):69.
8. Oxford Poverty and Human Development Initiative, Oxford Department of International Development, University of Oxford. Gross National Happiness [Internet]. 2024. Available from: <https://ophi.org.uk/gross-national-happiness>
9. ITC Project. ITC Bhutan Project Report [Internet]. Ontario, Canada and Thimphu, Bhutan: University of Waterloo and Ministry of Health; 2011 May. Available from: https://itcproject.s3.amazonaws.com/uploads/documents/ITC_Bhutan_Project_Report_Wave_1_2009_.pdf
10. van der Zee K, Filby S, van Walbeek C. When cigarette sales suddenly become illegal: evidence from an online survey of South African smokers during COVID-19 lockdown. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2023 Feb;25(2):325–30.
11. Action on Smoking and Health. Phasing out the sale of tobacco products. Beverly Hills case study. [Internet]. 2021. Available from: <https://endtobaccoca.ash.org/case-studies/>
12. Action on Smoking and Health. Phasing out the sale of tobacco products. Manhattan Beach case study. [Internet]. 2021. Available from: https://endtobaccoca.ash.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/CS_ManhattanBeach_V1_Final.pdf

13. Welwain RA, Stuppelbeen DA, Vuong TD, Andersen-Rodgers E, Zhang X. Perspectives of licensed tobacco retailers on tobacco sales bans in Manhattan Beach and Beverly Hills, California. *Tob Control*. 2022 Dec;31(e2):e213–4.
14. McDaniel PA, Smith EA, Malone RE. Retailer experiences with tobacco sales bans: lessons from two early adopter jurisdictions. *Tob Control*. 2024;33:739–44.
15. Henriksen L, Andersen-Rodgers E, Voelker DH, Johnson TO, Schleicher NC. Evaluations of compliance with California’s first tobacco sales bans and tobacco marketing in restricted and cross-border stores. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2024 Aug;26(9):1159–65.
16. White JS, Philbin M, Max W, Mukand N, Song C, Dhatt Z, et al. Economic impact analysis of flavored tobacco and no tobacco sales policies [Internet]. OSF; 2025. Available from: <https://osf.io/ducf5/>
17. Ali FRM, Neff L, Wang X, Hu SS, Schecter A, Mahoney M, et al. Tobacco-free pharmacies and U.S. adult smoking behavior: evidence from CVS Health’s removal of tobacco sales. *Am J Prev Med*. 2020 Jan;58(1):41–9.
18. Polinski JM, Howell B, Gagnon MA, Kymes SM, Brennan TA, Shrank WH. Impact of CVS Pharmacy’s discontinuance of tobacco sales on cigarette purchasing (2012–2014). *Am J Public Health*. 2017 Apr;107(4):556–62.
19. Chugh A, Arora M, Jain N, Vidyasagaran A, Readshaw A, Sheikh A, et al. The global impact of tobacco control policies on smokeless tobacco use: a systematic review. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2023 Jun;11(6):e953–68.
20. Nederlandse Voedsel- en Warenautoriteit, Ministerie van Landbouw, Visserij, Voedselzekerheid en Natuur. Verboden producten—tabac [Internet]. Ministerie van Landbouw, Natuur en Voedselkwaliteit; 2025. Available from: <https://www.nvwa.nl/onderwerpen/tabak-en-rookwaren-verkopen/verboden-producten>
21. Rijksinstituut voor Volksgezondheid en Milieu, Ministerie van Volksgezondheid, Welzijn en Sport. Beoordeling van het nicotinegehalte in nicotinezakjes waarbij de Acute Reference Dose niet overschreden wordt [Internet]. 2021. Available from: https://www.rivm.nl/sites/default/files/2021-11/FO_nicotinezakjes%20tox_20211101_def_anon.pdf
22. Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids. Tobacco Control Laws. 2024. Find by policy. Available from: <https://www.tobaccocontrolaws.org/legislation/find-by-policy>
23. Glatz C. United States Conference of Catholic Bishops. Tobacco trails: how popes past, present influenced the plant’s journey. Available from: <https://www.usccb.org/news/2023/tobacco-trails-how-popes-past-present-influenced-plants-journey>
24. Pullella P, Heinrich M (Ed.). Reuters. 2017. No more Holy Smokes - Vatican bans sale of cigarettes. Available from: <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-pope-cigarettes/no-more-holy-smokes-vatican-bans-sale-of-cigarettes-idUSKBN1D91JI/>
25. WHO FCTC Secretariat Knowledge Hub on Waterpipe Tobacco Smoking. An overview of global regulatory practices in controlling waterpipe tobacco use [Internet]. 2018 Jul. Available from: <https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/371013/fctc-cop9-suppl-inf-1-en.pdf?sequence=1>
26. Kishore J, Kaur J, Satpathy N, Jena PK, Venkatarao E. The Indian ban on loose cigarettes. *Tob Induc Dis*. 2023 Nov;21:1–2.
27. Mehrotra R, Sinha DN, Szilagyi T. Global smokeless tobacco control policies and their Implementation. Uttar Pradesh, India: WHO FCTC Global Knowledge Hub on Smokeless Tobacco, ICMR - National Institute of Cancer Prevention and Research, Noida; 2017.

28. Zaatari GS, Bazzi A. Impact of the WHO FCTC on non-cigarette tobacco products. *Tob Control*. 2019 Jun;28(Suppl 2):s104–12.
29. Tobacco Tactics, University of Bath. Tobacco Tactics. 2021. Snus: EU ban on snus sales. Available from: <https://www.tobaccotactics.org/article/snus-eu-ban-on-snus-sales/>
30. Mukherjee N, Jayakrishnan R, Bhattacharya P, Sarkar R, John D, Mitra A, et al. Impact of ban on commercial smokeless tobacco products among users and vendors in West Bengal and Kerala states, India. *Clin Epidemiol Glob Health*. 2022;18(Nov-Dec):101160.
31. World Health Organization. Litigation relevant to regulation of novel and emerging nicotine and tobacco products: case summaries [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2021 Apr. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240024182>
32. World Trade Organization. DS135: European communities – Measures affecting asbestos and products containing asbestos [Internet]. 2001. Available from: https://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/dispu_e/cases_e/ds135_e.htm
33. McCabe Centre for Law and Cancer. Forward-looking tobacco control measures and legal challenges. Background paper prepared for the Expert Group on forward-looking tobacco control measures (in relation to Article 2.1 of the WHO FCTC). 2025 Jan.
34. U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Smoking and Tobacco Use. 2024. Economic trends in tobacco. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/php/data-statistics/economic-trends/index.html>
35. Action on Smoking and Health UK. ASH. 2023. New figures show smoking costs billions more than tobacco taxes as consultation on creating a smokefree generation closes. Available from: <https://ash.org.uk/media-centre/news/press-releases/new-figures-show-smoking-costs-billions-more-than-tobacco-taxes-as-consultation-on-creating-a-smokefree-generation-closes>
36. McDaniel PA, Malone RE. Tobacco industry and public health responses to state and local efforts to end tobacco sales from 1969–2020. *PLOS One*. 2020 May;15(5):e0233417.
37. Daynard RA. Doing the unthinkable (and saving millions of lives). *Tobacco Control*. 2009 Feb;18(1):2–3.
38. Daynard RA. How smoking became history: looking back to 2012. *Tob Control*. 2012 Mar;21(2):289–90.
39. Proctor RN. Why ban the sale of cigarettes? The case for abolition. *Tob Control*. 2013 May;22(Suppl 1):i27–30.
40. Smith EA. Questions for a tobacco-free future. *Tob Control*. 2013 May;22 Suppl 1 (Suppl 1):i1–2.
41. Smith EA, Malone RE. An argument for phasing out sales of cigarettes. *Tob Control*. 2020 Nov;29(6):703–8.
42. Lushniak BD, Samet JM, Pechacek TF, Norman LA, Taylor PA. The Health consequences of smoking—50 years of progress : a report of the Surgeon General [Internet]. 2014. Available from: <https://stacks.cdc.gov/view/cdc/21569>
43. Puljević C, Morphet K, Hefler M, Edwards R, Walker N, Thomas DP, et al. Closing the gaps in tobacco endgame evidence: a scoping review. *Tob Control*. 2022 Mar;31(2):365–75.
44. Ontario Tobacco Research Unit. Indicators of OTS progress [Internet]. 2004. (Special reports: monitoring and evaluation series, 2003–2004 (Vol. 10, No.3)). Available from: http://otru.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/06/10mr_no3_final.pdf

45. Walsh R, Paul C, Tzelepis F, Stojanovski E, Tang A. Is government action out-of-step with public opinion on tobacco control? Results of a New South Wales population survey. *Aus NZ J Public Health*. 2008 Oct;32(5).
46. Edwards R, Wilson N, Peace J, Weerasekera D, Thomson GW, Gifford H. Support for a tobacco endgame and increased regulation of the tobacco industry among New Zealand smokers: results from a National Survey. *Tob Control*. 2013 May;22(e1):e86–93.
47. Thomson G, Wilson N, Edwards R. Kiwi support for the end of tobacco sales: New Zealand governments lag behind public support for advanced tobacco control policies. *N Z Med J*. 2010 Jan;123(1308):106–11.
48. Fix BV, O'Connor RJ, Fong GT, Borland R, Cummings KM, Hyland A. Smokers' reactions to FDA regulation of tobacco products: findings from the 2009 ITC United States survey. *BMC Public Health*. 2011 Dec;11:941.
49. Shahab L, West R. Public support in England for a total ban on the sale of tobacco products. *Tob Control*. 2010 Apr;19:143–7.
50. Gallus S, Lugo A, Fernandez E, Gilmore AB, Leon ME, Clancy L, et al. Support for a tobacco endgame strategy in 18 European countries. *Prev Med*. 2014 Oct;67:255–8.
51. Hayes L, Wakefield M, Scollo M. Public opinion about ending the sale of tobacco in Australia. *Tob Control*. 2014;23:183–4.
52. Li J, Tu D, Trappitt R. Public opinion about a smokefree New Zealand - Health and Lifestyles Survey 2010 [In Fact]. Wellington: Health Sponsorship Council; 2011.
53. Connolly G, Behm I, Healthon C, Alpert H. Public attitudes regarding banning of cigarettes and regulation of nicotine. *Am J Public Health*. 2012 Apr;102(4):e1-2.
54. Jaine R, Healey B, Edwards R, Hoek J. How adolescents view the tobacco endgame and tobacco control measures: trends and associations in support among 14-15 year olds. *Tob Control*. 2015 Sep;24(5):449–54.
55. White J. Young people's opinion on the sale of tobacco in New Zealand. [In Fact]. Wellington: Health Promotion Agency Research and Evaluation Unit; 2013.
56. Gendall P, Hoek J, Maubach N, Edwards R. Public support for more action on smoking. *N Z Med J*. 2013 May;126(1375):85–94.
57. Newcombe R, Li J. Public opinion on access to tobacco [In Fact]. Wellington: Health Promotion Agency Research and Evaluation Unit; 2013.
58. Wang MP, Wang X, Lam TH, Viswanath K, Chan SS. The tobacco endgame in Hong Kong: public support for a total ban on tobacco sales. *Tob Control*. 2015 Mar;24(2):162–7.
59. Palladino R, Hone T, Filippidis FT. Changes in support for bans of illicit drugs, tobacco, and alcohol among adolescents and young adults in Europe, 2008-2014. *Int J Public Health*. 2018 Jan;63(1):23–31.
60. Boeckmann M, Kotz D, Shahab L, Brown J, Kastaun S. German public support for tobacco control policy measures: results from the German Study on Tobacco Use (DEBRA), a representative national survey. *IJERPH*. 2018 Apr;15(4):696.
61. Schreuders M, Lagerweij NA, Putte B van den, Kunst AE. To what extent and why adolescents do or do not support future tobacco control measures: a multimethod study in the Netherlands. *Tob Control*. 2018 Sep;27(5):596–9.
62. Wamamili B, Gartner C, Lawler S. Factors associated with support for reducing and ending tobacco sales among university students in Queensland, Australia and New Zealand. *Aust N Z J Public Health*. 2022 Aug;46(4):447–81.

63. Nogueira SO, Driezen P, Fu M, Hitchman SC, Tigova O, Castellano Y, et al. Beyond the European Union Tobacco Products Directive: smokers' and recent quitters' support for further tobacco control measures (2016-2018). *Tob Control*. 2022 Nov;31(6):765–9.
64. Edwards R, Johnson E, Stanley J, Waa A, Ouimet J, Fong GT. Support for New Zealand's Smokefree 2025 goal and key measures to achieve it: findings from the ITC New Zealand Survey. *Aust N Z J Public Health*. 2021 Dec;45(6):554–61.
65. Brennan E, Durkin S, Scollo MM, Swanson M, Wakefield M. Public support for phasing out the sale of cigarettes in Australia. *Med J Aust*. 2021 Nov;215(10):471–2.
66. Brennan E, Ilchenko E, Scollo M, Durkin SJ, Wakefield MA. Public support for policies to phase out the retail sale of cigarettes in Australia: results from a nationally representative survey. *Tobacco Control*. 2023 Nov;32(6):790–4.
67. Siddiqi K, Siddiqi F, Boeckmann M, Islam Z, Khan A, Dobbie F, et al. Attitudes of smokers towards tobacco control policies: findings from the Studying Tobacco users of Pakistan (STOP) survey. *Tob Control*. 2022 Jan;31(1):112–6.
68. Kang H, Yoon W, Seo HG, Lee S, Lim S, Kim GY, et al. Public support for tobacco endgame policies in South Korea: findings from the 2020 International Tobacco Control Korea Survey. *Tob Control*. 2024 Feb 21; Published online first.
69. Al-Shawaf M, Grooms KN, Mahoney M, Lunsford B, Kittner DL. Support for policies to prohibit the sale of menthol cigarettes and all tobacco products among adults, 2021. *Prev Chronic Dis*. 2023 Feb;20:E05.
70. Avishai A, Ribisl KM, Sheeran P. Realizing the tobacco endgame: understanding and mobilizing public support for banning combustible cigarette sales in the United States. *Soc Sci Med*. 2023 Jun;327:115939.
71. Cosgrave EJ, Blake M, Murphy E, Sheridan A, Doyle F, Kavanagh P. Is the public ready for a tobacco-free Ireland? A national survey of public knowledge and attitudes to tobacco endgame in Ireland. *Tob Control*. 2024 Oct;19(33):807–12.
72. McDaniel P, Smith E, Malone R. The evidence for the endgame: a white paper [Internet]. San Francisco, CA: University of California, San Francisco; 2024. Available from: <https://endtobaccoca.ash.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/07/upendtobacco-endgame-whitepaper-office-print-24-0628-FINAL.pdf>
73. Le Grande M, Borland R, Gravely S, Cummings M, McNeill A, Yong HH, et al. Support for banning sale of smoked tobacco products among adults who smoke: findings from the International Tobacco Control Four Country Smoking and Vaping Surveys (2018-2022). *Tob Control*. 2024 Jun 17; Published online first.
74. Kim H, Gartner C, Edwards R, Puljević C, Morphet K, Kim DH, et al. Public support for tobacco endgame policies: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2025 Apr;27(4):586–97.
75. Meciar I, Gartner C, McLay A, Puljević C. The impact of tobacco control policies on illicit tobacco trade: a scoping review [Internet]. medRxiv; 2024. p. 2024.09.09.24313359. Available from: <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2024.09.09.24313359v1.full.pdf>
76. U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Smoking and Tobacco Use. 2024. Smoking cessation: fast facts. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/php/data-statistics/smoking-cessation/index.html>

77. Lester J, Meaney M. Not for sale: State authority to end cigarette sales. Mitchell Hamline Law Review [Internet]. 2018 Sep 16; Available from: <https://www.publichealthlawcenter.org/resources/not-sale-state-authority-end-cigarette-sales-2018>
78. Dsouza R, Bhojani U. Strategic and contested use of food laws to ban smokeless tobacco products in India: a qualitative analysis of litigation. Tob Control. 2023 May;32(3):275–9.
79. Gammon DG, Rogers T, Coats EM, Nonnemaker JM, Marynak KL, Kuiper NM, et al. National and state patterns of concept-flavoured cigar sales, USA, 2012–2016. Tob Control. 2019 Jul;28(4):394–400.
80. Osborn CC, Moore SP, Machta A, Trapl E. Backwoods cigars release ‘California Range’ of products with concept flavour names. Tob Control. 2025;34:265–7.
81. Malone RE. Tobacco endgames: what they are and are not, issues for tobacco control strategic planning and a possible US scenario. Tob Control. 2013 May;22(Suppl 1):i42–4.

(b) Institutional structure/market

(i) Environmental controls (“producer pays”)

1. Novotny TE, Slaughter E. Tobacco product waste: an environmental approach to reduce tobacco consumption. Curr Environ Health Rep. 2014;1(3):208–16.
2. World Health Organization. WHO raises alarm on tobacco industry environmental impact. News release. [Internet]. 2022. Available from: <https://www.who.int/news/item/31-05-2022-who-raises-alarm-on-tobacco-industry-environmental-impact#:~:text=Countries%20like%20France%20and%20Spain,up%20the%20pollution%20it%20creates>
3. Jain YK, Bhardwaj P, Joshi NK, Singh PK, Lal P, Kapoor S, et al. Estimating the weight of consumed tobacco product waste in various Indian states: a novel method to assess the potential burden of tobacco product waste. Tob Control. 2024 Nov;33(6):775–80.
4. Jain YK, Bhardwaj P, Joshi NK, Singh PK, Lal P, Kapoor S, et al. India’s environmental burden of tobacco use and its policy implications. Lancet Regional Health - Southeast Asia. 2024 Jan;20:100329.
5. Lecours N, Almeida GEG, Abdallah JM, Novotny TE. Environmental health impacts of tobacco farming: a review of the literature. Tob Control. 2012 Mar;21(2):191–6.
6. Barnes RL. Regulating the disposal of cigarette butts as toxic hazardous waste. Tob Control. 2011 May;20(Suppl 1):i45–48.
7. Curtis C, Novotny TE, Lee K, Freiberg M, McLaughlin I. Tobacco industry responsibility for butts: a Model Tobacco Waste Act. Tob Control. 2017 Jan;26(1):113–7.
8. Smith MJ, Patterson C, Buckton C, Hilton S. Implementation of the polluter pay’s principle in tobacco control in the UK: a stakeholder analysis. BMC Public Health. 2023 Nov;23(1):2271.
9. Hoek J, Gendall P, Blank ML, Robertson L, Marsh L. Butting out: an analysis of support for measures to address tobacco product waste. Tob Control. 2020;29:131–7.
10. European Commission-DG Environment. Development of guidance on Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) [Internet]. 2014. Available from: https://ec.europa.eu/environment/pdf/waste/target_review/Guidance%20on%20EPR%20-%20Final%20Report.pdf

11. Curtis C, Collins S, Cunningham S, Stigler P, Novotny TE. Extended producer responsibility and product stewardship for tobacco product waste. *Int J Waste Resour.* 2014 Sep;4(3):157.
12. Product Stewardship Inc. Oregon Paint Stewardship program evaluation. Final report to American Coatings Association and Metro Oregon. [Internet]. Boston, MA: Product Stewardship Inc.; 2013 Mar. Available from: <http://www.paintcare.org/wp-content/uploads/docs/or-report-psi-evaluation-2013.pdf>
13. Vernier J. Extended producer responsibility (EPR) in France [Internet]. 2021. Available from: <https://journals.openedition.org/factsreports/6557>
14. Spies AM, Geldmacher J, García López C, Pretz T, Raulf K. Methodology to quantify single-use plastic products in municipal solid waste Part 1: development of a sampling methodology. *Waste Manag Res.* 2024 Jun;42(6):485–94.
15. Spies AM, Geldmacher J, García López C, Pretz T, Raulf K. Methodology to quantify single-use plastic products in municipal solid waste Part 2: quantification of tobacco products with filters in Germany. *Waste Manag Res.* 2024 Nov;42(11):1065–78.
16. Richmond RL. How women and youth are targeted by the tobacco industry. *Monaldi Arch Chest Dis.* 1997 Aug;52(4):384–9.
17. U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Tobacco – Health Equity. 2024. Unfair and unjust practices and conditions harm people in some geographic regions and drive health disparities. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/tobacco-health-equity/collection/regional-unfair-and-unjust.html>
18. Matheny J, Tegen A, Berkman M, Gray N, Verhagen B, Sree V. Combatting tobacco industry lobbyists in public health. *Tob Induc Dis.* 2023 Oct;21:137.
19. Sy D. Advancing environmental protection at COP10: implications for tobacco's toxic plastics and extended producer responsibility [Internet]. Tobacco Control Blog. 2024. Available from: <https://blogs.bmj.com/tc/2024/04/14/advancing-environmental-protection-at-cop10-implications-for-tobaccos-toxic-plastics-and-extended-producer-responsibility/>
20. Eschenbrenner A, Venâncio R. INC-5 Day 2: UN Plastic Pollution Treaty negotiations [Internet]. Action on Smoking & Health Blog. 2024. Available from: <https://ash.org/day-2-plastics-inc5/>
21. The Lancet Respiratory Medicine. The hidden costs of big tobacco [Editorial]. *Lancet Respir Med.* 2022 Jul;10(7):623.
22. Stigler-Granados P, Fulton L, Nunez Patlan E, Terzyk M, Novotny TE. Global health perspectives on cigarette butts and the environment. *IJERPH.* 2019 May;16(10):1858.
23. Novotny T, Bialous S, Hill K, Hamzi L, Beutel M, Hoh E, et al. Tobacco product waste in California: a white paper [Internet]. California Tobacco Control Program, California Department of Health; 2022 Feb. Available from: <https://merg.sdsu.edu/wp-content/uploads/2022/05/Tobacco-Product-Waste-in-California-A-White-Paper.pdf>
24. Huber L, Megina R, Cuadrado U. Tobacco industry interference in Spanish tobacco product waste management [Internet]. Tobacco Control Blog. 2025. Available from: <https://blogs.bmj.com/tc/2025/03/10/tobacco-industry-interference-in-spanish-tobacco-product-waste-management/>

25. Government of Spain. Real Decreto 1093/2024, de 22 de octubre, por el que se regula la gestión de los residuos de los productos del tabaco con filtros y de los filtros comercializados para utilizarse con productos del tabaco que contengan plástico y que sean de un solo uso [Internet]. 2024. Available from: https://www.boe.es/diario_boe/txt.php?id=BOE-A-2024-21709
26. Seas at Risk. Spain tobacco manufacturers legally responsible for cleaning up their act [Internet]. 2023. Available from: <https://seas-at-risk.org/members-news/tobacco-manufacturers-legally-responsible-for-cleaning-up-their-act/>
27. Ministry of Ecological Transition and Territorial Cohesion, France. Decision by the Ministry of Ecological Transition and Territorial Cohesion, France [Internet]. 2023. Available from: <https://www.actu-environnement.com/media/pdf/news-44119-decision-astreinte-alcome-novembre-2023.pdf>
28. Ministry of Ecological Transition and Territorial Cohesion France. Décision portant liquidation partielle de l'astreinte administrative imposée à la société ALCOME [Internet]. 2024. Available from: <https://www.actu-environnement.com/media/pdf/news-44119-decision-sanction-alcome-fevrier-2023.pdf>
29. National Committee Against Smoking. Générations sans tabac. The Council of State validates the missions imposed on the eco-organization Alcome concerning cigarette butts. Available from: <https://www.generationsanstabac.org/en/actualites/le-conseil-detat-valide-les-missions-imposees-a-leco-organisme-alcome-concernant-les-megots-de-cigarettes/>

(ii) End of any government support for tobacco farming

1. Tobacco Tactics. Tobacco farming [Internet]. 2023. Available from: <https://www.tobaccotactics.org/article/tobacco-farming/>
2. Kasperson R, Dow K. Vulnerable people and places. In: Ecosystems and well-being: current state and trends [Internet]. Washington, D.C.: Island Press; 2005. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/234154407_Vulnerable_Peoples_and_Places
3. Alderete E, Livaudais-Toman J, Kaplan C, Gregorich S, Mejía R, Pérez-Stable E. Youth working in tobacco farming: effects on smoking behavior and association with health status. BMC Public Health. 2020;20(84).
4. Lencucha R, Drope J. How does tobacco growing impact advancement in demand reduction measures? Tob Prev Cessat. 2021 Feb;7(7).
5. World Health Organization. World No Tobacco Day 2023: grow food, not tobacco [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2023 May. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240073937>
6. U.S. National Cancer Institute and World Health Organization. The economics of tobacco and tobacco control. Bethesda, Maryland and Geneva, Switzerland: National Cancer Institute and World Health Organization; 2016. Report No.: 16-CA-8029A.
7. Lencucha R, Drope J, Magati P, Sahadewo GA. Tobacco Farming: overcoming an understated impediment to comprehensive tobacco control. Tob Control. 2022 Mar;31(2):308–12.
8. Freeman B. The tobacco growing industry. In: Scollo M, Winstanley M, editors. Tobacco in Australia: Facts and issues [Internet]. Melbourne, Australia: Cancer Council Victoria; 2016. Chapter 10. Available from: <http://www.tobaccoinaustralia.org.au/chapter-10-tobacco-industry/10-1-the-tobacco-growing-industry>

9. Lee, Trinette. Country practices in the implementation of Article 17 (Economically sustainable alternatives to tobacco growing) of the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control. Report commissioned by the Convention Secretariat [Internet]. Geneva: WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control Secretariat; 2019 Dec. Available from: <https://fctc.who.int/docs/librariesprovider12/default-document-library/country-practices-in-the-implementation-of-article-17.pdf>
10. Dissanyaka N, Hirimuthugodage D, Thibbotuwawa M. Transforming tobacco farming in Sri Lanka: a value chain analysis [Internet]. Colombo, Sri Lanka: Institute of Policy Studies of Sri Lanka; 2023. (Agricultural Research Series). Report No.: 11. Available from: https://www.ips.lk/wp-content/uploads/2023/01/Transforming-Tobacco-Farming-In-Sri-Lanka_E_Book.pdf
11. Vogt C de M, Alvim AM. The tobacco small farmers and impact of restrictive credit policies on the planted area in Brazil: assessment of tobacco control policies. *Desenvolv e Meio Ambiente*. 2023;62.
12. World Health Organization. Tobacco-free farms: the challenge [Internet]. 2025. Available from: <https://www.who.int/initiatives/tobacco-free-farms/the-challenge>
13. World Health Organization European Region. From seedbed to cigarette butt – tobacco’s trail of harm and broad injustice [Internet]. 2023. Available from: <https://www.who.int/europe/news/item/31-05-2023-from-seedbed-to-cigarette-butt---tobacco-s-trail-of-harm-and-broad-injustice>

(iii) Measures to reduce tobacco supplier profits and pricing power

1. Dimson E, Marsh P, Staunton M. Industries: their rise and fall. In: Credit Suisse global investment returns yearbook 2015 [Internet]. Zurich, Switzerland: Credit Suisse; 2015. Available from: <https://assets.london.edu/hxo16faneggh/17qoDLtNQRLq7vMWg7fvqb/aeb1575bd2edd4b963c65277c5bfb7a/global-investment-returns-yearbook-2015.pdf>
2. U.S. National Cancer Institute and World Health Organization. The economics of tobacco and tobacco control. National Cancer Institute Tobacco Control Monograph 21 [Internet]. Bethesda, Maryland and Geneva, Switzerland: National Cancer Institute and World Health Organization; 2016. Report No.: 16-CA-8029A. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/nci-tobacco-control-monograph-series-21-the-economics-of-tobacco-and-tobacco-control>
3. Chaloupka FJ, Straif K, Leon ME. Effectiveness of tax and price policies in tobacco control. *Tob Control*. 2011 May;20(3):235–8.
4. Sheikh Z, Branston J, Gilmore A. Tobacco industry pricing strategies in response to excise tax policies: a systematic review. *Tob Control*. 2023 Mar;32(2):239–50.
5. Conference of the Parties to the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control. Guidelines for implementation of Article 5.3 [Internet]. World Health Organization; 2013. Available from: <https://fctc.who.int/resources/publications/m/item/guidelines-for-implementation-of-article-5.3>
6. Branston JR. Industry profits continue to drive the tobacco epidemic: a new endgame for tobacco control? *Tob Prev Cessat*. 2021 Jun;7:45.
7. United States Securities and Exchange Commission. Annual report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2024. The Coca-Cola Company. [Internet]. Washington, D.C.: United States Securities and Exchange Commission; 2024. Report No.: Commission file number 001-02217. Available from: <https://investors.coca-colacompany.com>

8. Pepsico. 2019 Annual report. Creating more smiles with every sip and every bite. [Internet]. 2019. Available from: <https://www.pepsico.com/docs/default-source/annual-reports/pepsico-inc-2019-annual-report.pdf>
9. Nestle. Financial statements 2019. Consolidated financial statements of the Nestle group 2019. [Internet]. 2019. Available from: <https://www.nestle.com/sites/default/files/2020-02/2019-financial-statements-en.pdf>
10. Imperial Brands. Annual report and accounts 2019. Imperial Brands [Internet]. 2020. Available from: https://www.imperialbrandspkc.com/content/dam/imperialbrands/corporate/documents/investors/reports/Annual_Report_2019.pdf.downloadasset.pdf
11. Imperial Tobacco Limited. Annual report and financial statements for the year ended 30 September 2019. [Internet]. 2020. Available from: <https://www.imperialbrandspkc.com/content/dam/imperialbrands/corporate/documents/investors/debt-information/reports-and-results/annualreportsitl/190930%20ITL%20Annual%20Report%20and%20Accounts.pdf>
12. FedEx Corporation. 2019 Annual report [Internet]. 2019. Available from: https://s21.q4cdn.com/665674268/files/doc_financials/annual/2019/FedEx-Corporation-2019-Annual-Report.pdf
13. General Mills. 2019 Annual report to shareholders [Internet]. 2019. Available from: <https://investors.generalmills.com/financial-information/annual->
14. Starbucks. Fiscal 2019 annual report [Internet]. 2019. Available from: https://www.annualreports.com/HostedData/AnnualReportArchive/s/NASDAQ_SBUX_2019.pdf
15. Heineken. Heineken N.V. reports 2019 full year results [Internet]. 2020 Feb. Available from: <https://www.theheinekencompany.com/newsroom/heineken-nv-reports-2019-full-year-results/>
16. Carlsberg Group. Annual report 2019 [Internet]. 2019. Available from: https://www.annualreports.com/HostedData/AnnualReportArchive/c/OTC_CABJF_2019.pdf
17. United States Security and Exchange Commission. Mondelez International; Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. [Internet]. 2020. Report No.: FORM 10-K; Commission File Number 1-16483. Available from: https://www.mondelezinternational.com/-/media/Mondelez/PDFs/MONDELEZ-INTERNATIONAL-INC_10K_2020.pdf
18. Physicians for a Smoke-Free Canada. Backgrounder. The Tobacco Manufacturers' Surtax 1994–2012. [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.smoke-free.ca/factsheets/pdf/CorporateTaxandBigTobacco.pdf>
19. Vermeulen S, Dillen M, Branston J. Big tobacco, big avoidance [Internet]. University of Bath; 2020 Nov. Available from: <https://www.bath.ac.uk/publications/big-tobacco-big-avoidance/>
20. Government of Canada. Income Tax Act (R.S.C., 1985, c.1 (5th Supp.)) [Internet]. 1985. Available from: <https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/i-3.3/>
21. Magalhães T, De Lillo F. The return of windfall taxation. Intertax. 2023;51(11):722–31.
22. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Tobacco Products. 2025. Tobacco user fees. Available from: <https://www.fda.gov/tobacco-products/manufacturing/tobacco-user-fees>

23. Government of Canada. Regulating tobacco and vaping products: tobacco products regulations [Internet]. 2025. Available from: <https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/smoking-tobacco/regulating-tobacco-vaping/tobacco.html>
24. Government of Canada. Tobacco and Vaping Products Act. SOR/2025-80 [Internet]. 2025. Available from: <https://gazette.gc.ca/rp-pr/p2/2025/2025-03-26/pdf/g2-15907.pdf#page=581>
25. Ribisl KM, Golden SD, Huang J, Scollo M. Addressing lower-priced cigarette products through three-pronged comprehensive regulation on excise taxes, minimum price policies and restrictions on price promotions. *Tob Control*. 2022 Mar;31(2):229–34.
26. Action on Smoking and Health. Health Commission backs call for a polluter pays levy on tobacco manufacturers [Internet]. 2024. Available from: <https://ash.org.uk/media-centre/news/press-releases/health-commission-backs-call-for-a-polluter-pays-levy-on-tobacco-manufacturers>
27. University of Bath. Tackling tobacco pricing. How a proposed new levy could help hit tobacco manufacturers' profits and reduce tobacco use [Internet]. 2025. Available from: <https://www.bath.ac.uk/case-studies/tackling-tobacco-pricing/>
28. All-Parliamentary Group on Smoking and Health. A roadmap to a smokefree country: no one starts, everyone stops, no profit in tobacco [Internet]. 2025 Apr. Available from: <https://ash.org.uk/resources/view/a-roadmap-to-a-smokefree-country-no-one-starts-everyone-stops-no-profit-in-tobacco>
29. Gilmore AB, Branston JR, Sweanor D. The case for OFSMOKE: how tobacco price regulation is needed to promote the health of markets, government revenue and the public. *Tob Control*. 2010 Oct;19(5):423–30.
30. Branston JR, Gilmore AB. The case for Ofsmoke: the potential for price cap regulation of tobacco to raise £500 million per year in the UK. *Tob Control*. 2014 Jan;23(1):45–50.
31. Scollo M, Branston JR. Where to next for countries with high tobacco taxes? The potential for greater control of tobacco pricing through licensing regulation. *Tob Control*. 2022 Mar;31(2):235–40.
32. Branston JR, Gilmore AB. The failure of the UK to tax adequately tobacco company profits. *J Public Health*. 2020 Feb;42(1):69–76.
33. Sloman J, Garratt D, Guest J, Jones E. *Economics for business*, 9th edition. Harlow, UK: Pearson; 2024.
34. Green R, Rodriguez P, Augusto M. Resetting price controls for privatized utilities: a manual for regulators [Internet]. Washington, DC: World Bank Group; 1999 Feb. Available from: <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/836641468769304391>
35. United Nations. Sustainable Development Goals: 17 goals to transform our world [Internet]. 2020 Sep. Available from: <https://www.un.org/en/exhibits/page/sdgs-17-goals-transform-world>
36. Harvard Medical School, Brigham and Women's Hospital. Cases in global health delivery. "Sin taxes" and health financing in the Philippines. 2015 Oct.
37. Stigler G. The theory of economic regulation. *Bell J Econ Manage Sci*. 1971;2:3–21.
38. Carpenter D, Moss D (Eds.). *Preventing regulatory capture*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press; 2013.
39. Spencer G, Nugent R, Mann N, Hutchinson B, Ngongo C, Tarlton D, et al. Equity implications of tobacco taxation: results from WHO FCTC investment cases. *Tob Control*. 2024 May;33(Suppl 1):s27–33.

40. Shang C, Lee H, Chaloupka FJ. Association between tax structure and cigarette consumption: findings from the International Tobacco Control Policy Evaluation (ITC) Project. *Tob Control*. 2019;28:s31–6.

(iv) End the commercial sale of tobacco industry products

1. Liberman J. Where to for tobacco regulation: time for new approaches? *Drug and Alcohol Review*. 2003;22:461–9.
2. Callard C, Thompson D, Collishaw N. Transforming the tobacco market: why the supply of cigarettes should be transferred from for-profit corporations to non-profit enterprises with a public health mandate. *Tob Control*. 2005 Aug;14(4):278–83.
3. Naik V, Akash P, Jahan I. Global tobacco: emerging trends and risks posed to investors [Internet]. 2023. Available from: <https://insights.issgovernance.com/posts/global-tobacco-emerging-trends-and-risks-posed-to-investors/>
4. Statista. Statista Market Insights. 2025. Tobacco products: worldwide. Available from: <https://www.statista.com/outlook/cmo/tobacco-products/worldwide>
5. Greenhalgh E, Winnall W, Scollo M. E-cigarette products and the e-cigarette market. In: *Tobacco in Australia: facts and issues* [Internet]. Melbourne: Cancer Council Victoria; 2023. Available from: <https://www.tobaccoinaustralia.org.au/chapter-18-e-cigarettes/18-1-the-ecigarettemarket>
6. Conference of the Parties to the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control. Guidelines for implementation of Article 5.3 [Internet]. World Health Organization; 2013. Available from: <https://fctc.who.int/resources/publications/m/item/guidelines-for-implementation-of-article-5.3>
7. McDaniel PA, Smith EA, Malone RE. The tobacco endgame: a qualitative review and synthesis. *Tob Control*. 2016 Sep;25(5):594–604.
8. Puljević C, Morphet K, Hefler M, Edwards R, Walker N, Thomas DP, et al. Closing the gaps in tobacco endgame evidence: a scoping review. *Tob Control*. 2022 Mar;31:365–75.
9. Callard C, Collishaw NE, Thompson D. Curing the addiction to profits: a supply-side approach to phasing out tobacco. Ottawa: Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives and Physicians for a Smoke-Free Canada; 2005.
10. World Health Organization. 2023 global progress report on implementation of the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control [Internet]. Geneva, Switzerland; 2023. Available from: <https://fctc.who.int/publications/m/item/2023-global-progress-report>
11. World Health Organization. WHO global report on trends in prevalence of tobacco use 2000–2030 [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2024. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240088283>
12. Tobacco Tactics [Internet]. 2022. CSR: Health. Available from: <https://www.tobaccotactics.org/article/csr-health/>
13. STOP. 10 ways the tobacco industry targets youth [Internet]. STOP Blogs. 2020. Available from: <https://exposetobacco.org/news/10-ways-tobacco-targets-youth/>
14. Conference of the Parties to the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control. Guidelines for implementation of Article 6 [Internet]. World Health Organization; 2017. Available from: <https://fctc.who.int/resources/publications/m/item/price-and-tax-measures-to-reduce-the-demand-for-tobacco>
15. Room R, Cisneros Örnberg J. Government monopoly as an instrument for public health and welfare: lessons for cannabis from experience with alcohol monopolies. *Int J Drug Policy*. 2019 Dec;74:223–8.

16. Drope J. Going beyond the WHO Health Organization Framework Convention on Tobacco Control: an environmental scan. Working paper prepared for Health Canada. [Internet]. Health Canada; 2022. Available from: <https://ash.org/going-beyond-the-world-health-organization-framework-convention-on-tobacco-control-an-environmental-scan/>
17. Steering Committee and Action Groups Members. A Tobacco Endgame for Canada [Internet]. 2016. Available from: <https://www.queensu.ca/gazette/sites/default/files/assets/attachments/EndgameSummit-Backgroundpaper%20.pdf>
18. Steering Committee and Action Groups Members. A tobacco endgame for Canada. 2016 Summit. Summary report. [Internet]. Kingston, Ontario: Queen's University; 2017 Jan. Available from: <https://www.otru.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Tobacco-Endgame-Summit-Summary-Report.pdf>
19. Chung-Hall J, Fong GT, Driezen P, Craig L. Smokers' support for tobacco endgame measures in Canada: findings from the 2016 International Tobacco Control Smoking and Vaping Survey. CMAJ Open. 2018 Sep;6(3):E412–22.
20. Kang H, Yoon W, Seo HG, Lee S, Lim S, Kim GY, et al. Public support for tobacco endgame policies in South Korea: findings from the 2020 International Tobacco Control Korea Survey. Tob Control. 2024 Feb 21; Published online first.
21. Wang MP, Wang X, Lam TH, Viswanath K, Chan SS. The tobacco endgame in Hong Kong: public support for a total ban on tobacco sales. Tob Control. 2015 Mar;24(2):162–7.
22. Shahab L, West R. Public support in England for a total ban on the sale of tobacco products. Tob Control. 2010 Apr;19:143–7.
23. Edwards R, Wilson N, Peace J, Weerasekera D, Thomson GW, Gifford H. Support for a tobacco endgame and increased regulation of the tobacco industry among New Zealand smokers: results from a National Survey. Tob Control. 2013 May;22(e1):e86–93.
24. Kazan-Allen L. International Ban Asbestos Secretariat. 2025. Current asbestos bans. Available from: http://ibasecretariat.org/alpha_ban_list.php
25. Safe Work Australia. Engineered stone ban: protecting workers' health and safety [Internet]. 2025. Available from: <https://www.safeworkaustralia.gov.au/esban/faq#prohibStone>
26. Gordon LG, Sinclair C, Cleaves N, Makin JK, Rodriguez-Acevedo AJ, Green AC. Consequences of banning commercial solarium in 2016 in Australia. Health Policy. 2020 Jun;124(6):665–70.
27. Conference of the Parties to the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control. Decision FCTC/COP6(9) Electronic nicotine delivery systems and electronic non-nicotine delivery systems [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014 Oct. Available from: [https://apps.who.int/gb/fctc/PDF/cop6/FCTC_COP6\(9\)-en.pdf](https://apps.who.int/gb/fctc/PDF/cop6/FCTC_COP6(9)-en.pdf)
28. Conference of the Parties to the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control. Decision FCTC/COP7(9) Electronic nicotine delivery systems and electronic non-nicotine delivery systems [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016 Nov. Available from: [https://fctc.who.int/resources/publications/m/item/fctc-cop7\(9\)-electronic-nicotine-delivery-systems-and-electronic-nicotine-delivery-systems](https://fctc.who.int/resources/publications/m/item/fctc-cop7(9)-electronic-nicotine-delivery-systems-and-electronic-nicotine-delivery-systems)
29. Liberman J. The future of tobacco regulation: a response to a proposal for fundamental institutional change. Tob Control. 2006 Aug;15(4):333–8.

(v) Quota on tobacco manufacture and imports, followed by regular reduction (“sinking lid”)

1. International Agency for Research on Cancer. Effectiveness of tax and price policies for tobacco control [Internet]. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2011. (IARC Handbooks of Cancer Prevention. Tobacco Control; vol. 14). Available from: <https://publications.iarc.fr/Book-And-Report-Series/Iarc-Handbooks-Of-Cancer-Prevention/Effectiveness-Of-Tax-And-Price-Policies-For-Tobacco-Control-2011>
2. Thomson G, Wilson N, Blakely T, Edwards R. Ending appreciable tobacco use in a nation: using a sinking lid on supply. *Tob Control*. 2010 Oct;19(5):431–5.
3. Wilson N, Thomson GW, Edwards R, Blakely T. Potential advantages and disadvantages of an endgame strategy: a ‘sinking lid’ on tobacco supply. *Tob Control*. 2013 May;22(Suppl 1):i18–21.
4. Ruokolainen O, Ollila H, Laatikainen T, Pätsi SM, Carreras G, Gorini G, et al. Tobacco endgame measures and their adaptation in selected European countries: a narrative review synthesis. *Tob Prev Cessation*. 2024 Apr;10:18.
5. Tait P, Saunders C, Rutherford P. Quota management policy for New Zealand tobacco supply. Auckland, New Zealand: Tobacco Control Research Turanga; 2013.
6. van der Deen FS, Wilson N, Cleghorn CL, Kvizhinadze G, Cobiac LJ, Nghiem N, et al. Impact of five tobacco endgame strategies on future smoking prevalence, population health and health system costs: two modelling studies to inform the tobacco endgame. *Tob Control*. 2018 May;27(3):278–86.
7. Schmalensee R, Stavins RN. The design of environmental markets: what have we learned from experience with cap and trade? *Oxford Rev Econ Policy*. 2017 Nov;33(4):572–88.
8. Döbbeling-Hildebrandt N, Miersch K, Khanna TM, Bachelet M, Bruns SB, Callaghan M, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of ex-post evaluations on the effectiveness of carbon pricing. *Nature Communications*. 2024 May;15(1):4147.
9. Zipper CE, Gilroy L. Sulfur dioxide emissions and market effects under the Clean Air Act Acid Rain Program. *J Air Waste Manag Assoc*. 1998 Sep;48(9):829–37.
10. Schmalensee R, Stavins RN. The SO₂ allowance trading system: the ironic history of a grand policy experiment. *J Econ Perspectives*. 2013 Feb;27(1):103–22.
11. United States Environmental Protection Agency. Power sector programs - Progress report [Internet]. 2022. Available from: <https://www.epa.gov/power-sector/progress-report>
12. Biancalani F, Gnecco G, Metulini R, Riccaboni M. The impact of the European Union emissions trading system on carbon dioxide emissions: a matrix completion analysis. *Sci Rep*. 2024;14:19676.
13. Dechezleprêtre A, Nachtigall D, Venmans F. The joint impact of the European Union emissions trading system on carbon emissions and economic performance. *J Environ Econ Manag*. 2023 Mar;118:102758.
14. Colmer J, Martin R, Muûls M, Wagner U. Does pricing carbon mitigate climate change? Firm-level evidence from the European Union Emissions Trading System. *Rev Econ Studies*. 2024;rdae055.
15. European Commission. EU Emissions Trading System (EU ETS) [Internet]. 2024. Available from: https://climate.ec.europa.eu/eu-action/eu-emissions-trading-system-eu-ets_en

16. The International Council on Clean Transportation. Zero-emission vehicle phase-ins: Passenger cars and vans/light trucks [Internet]. 2024 Jul. Available from: <https://theicct.org/zero-emission-vehicle-phase-ins-passenger-cars-and-vans-light-trucks-feb24/>
17. Wu YS, Wang MP, Ho SY, Cheung YT, Kwong A, Lai V, et al. Positive perceptions of electronic cigarettes relative to combustible cigarettes are associated with weaker support for endgame policies on combustible cigarettes: a population-based cross-sectional study in Hong Kong. *Tob Induc Dis*. 2019 Aug;17:61.
18. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Climate change 2022: mitigation of climate change. Contribution of Working Group III to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Internet]. 2022. Available from: <https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg3/>
19. Brown L, Hanafi A, Petsonk A. The EU emissions trading system: results and lessons learned [Internet]. New York: Environmental Defense Fund; 2012. Available from: [https://www.edf.org/sites/default/files/EU ETS Lessons Learned Report EDF.pdf](https://www.edf.org/sites/default/files/EU_ETC_Lessons_Learned_Report_EDF.pdf)

(c) Product

(i) Low/very low nicotine content levels in combustible tobacco products

1. World Health Organization. WHO report on the global tobacco epidemic, 2023: protect people from tobacco smoke [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2023 Jul. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240077164>
2. Center for Health Promotion and Education (U.S.), Office on Smoking and Health United States, Public Health Service, Office of the Surgeon General. The health consequences of smoking – nicotine addiction: a report of the Surgeon General [Internet]. Center for Health Promotion and Education (U.S.), Office of Smoking and Health; 1988. Available from: <https://profiles.nlm.nih.gov/spotlight/nn/catalog/nlm:nlmuid-101584932X423-doc>
3. World Health Organization. Health Topics. 2025. Tobacco. Available from: https://www.who.int/health-topics/tobacco#tab=tab_1
4. Benowitz NL, Henningfield JE. Establishing a nicotine threshold for addiction. The implications for tobacco regulation. *N Engl J Med*. 1994 Jul;331(2):123–5.
5. Benowitz NL, Henningfield JE. Reducing the nicotine content to make cigarettes less addictive. *Tob Control*. 2013 May;22(Suppl 1):i14–7.
6. Conference of the Parties to the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control. Guidelines for implementation of Article 14 [Internet]. World Health Organization; 2013. Available from: <https://fctc.who.int/resources/publications/m/item/guidelines-for-implementation-of-article-14>
7. Conference of the Parties to the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control. Partial guidelines for implementation of Articles 9 and 10 [Internet]. World Health Organization; 2017. Available from: <https://fctc.who.int/resources/publications/m/item/regulation-of-the-contents-of-tobacco-products-and-regulation-of-tobacco-product-disclosures>
8. Conference of the Parties to the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control. Decision FCTC/COP5(6). Further development of the partial guidelines for implementation of Articles 9 and 10 of the WHO FCTC (Regulation of the contents of tobacco products and Regulation of tobacco product disclosures) [Internet]. World Health Organization; 2012. Available from: [https://apps.who.int/gb/fctc/PDF/cop5/FCTC COP5\(6\)-en.pdf](https://apps.who.int/gb/fctc/PDF/cop5/FCTC_COP5(6)-en.pdf)

9. Conference of the Parties to the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control. Decision FCTC/COP7(14). Further development of the partial guidelines for implementation of Articles 9 and 10 of the WHO FCTC (Regulation of the contents of tobacco products and Regulation of tobacco product disclosure) [Internet]. World Health Organization; 2016. Available from: <https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/371353/fctc-cop-7-14-en.pdf>
10. World Health Organization. Advisory note. Global nicotine reduction strategy. WHO Study Group on Tobacco Product Regulation (TobReg) [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2015 Oct. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/advisory-note-global-nicotine-reduction-strategy-who-study-group-on-tobacco-product-regulation>
11. World Health Organization, Secretariat of the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control. Report: consultation on tobacco addictiveness reduction measures, Berlin, Germany, 15–16 May 2018 [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization and the Secretariat of the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control; 2018 Sep. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/report-consultation-on-tobacco-addictiveness-reduction-measures>
12. Lawler TS, Stanfill SB, deCastro BR, Lisko JG, Duncan BW, Richter P, et al. Surveillance of nicotine and pH in cigarette and cigar filler. *Tob Regul Sci*. 2017 Apr;3(Suppl 1):101–16.
13. Donny EC, White CM. A review of the evidence on cigarettes with reduced addictiveness potential. *Int J Drug Policy*. 2022 Jan;99:1–11.
14. Berman ML, Glasser AM. Nicotine reduction in cigarettes: literature review and gap analysis. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2019 Dec;21(Suppl 1):S133–44.
15. Piper ME, Drobos DJ, Walker N. Behavioral and subjective effects of reducing nicotine in cigarettes: a cessation commentary. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2019 Dec;21(Suppl 1):S19–21.
16. Donny EC, Denlinger RL, Tidey JW, Koopmeiners JS, Benowitz NL, Vandrey RG, et al. Randomized trial of reduced-nicotine standards for cigarettes. *N Engl J Med*. 2015 Oct;373(14):1340–9.
17. Donny EC, Hatsukami DK, Benowitz NL, Sved AF, Tidey JW, Cassidy RN. Reduced nicotine product standards for combustible tobacco: building an empirical basis for effective regulation. *Prev Med*. 2014 Nov;68:17–22.
18. Hatsukami DK, Kotlyar M, Hertsgaard LA, Zhang Y, Carmella SG, Jensen JA, et al. Reduced nicotine content cigarettes: effects on toxicant exposure, dependence and cessation. *Addiction*. 2010 Feb;105(2):343–55.
19. Hatsukami DK, Luo X, Jensen JA, al’Absi M, Allen SS, Carmella SG, et al. Effect of immediate vs gradual reduction in nicotine content of cigarettes on biomarkers of smoke exposure: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2018 Sep;320(9):880–91.
20. U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Very low nicotine content cigarettes [Internet]. 2024. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/other-tobacco-products/low-nicotine-cigarettes.html>
21. Higgins ST, Tidey JW, Sigmon SC, Heil SH, Gaalema DE, Lee D, et al. Changes in cigarette consumption with reduced nicotine content cigarettes among smokers with psychiatric conditions or socioeconomic disadvantage: 3 randomized clinical trials. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2020 Oct;3(10):e2019311.
22. Krebs NM, Zhu J, Wasserman E, Kuprewicz R, Martinez DJ, Veldheer S, et al. Switching to progressively reduced nicotine content cigarettes in smokers with low socioeconomic status: a double-blind randomized clinical trial. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2021 May;23(6):992–1001.

23. Foulds J, Veldheer S, Pachas G, Hrabovsky S, Hameed A, Allen SI, et al. The effects of reduced nicotine content cigarettes on biomarkers of nicotine and toxicant exposure, smoking behavior and psychiatric symptoms in smokers with mood or anxiety disorders: a double-blind randomized trial. *PLOS One*. 2022;17(11):1–20.
24. Hatsukami DK, Jensen JA, Carroll DM, Luo X, Strayer LG, Cao Q, et al. Reduced nicotine in cigarettes in a marketplace with alternative nicotine systems: randomized clinical trial. *Lancet Reg Health – Am*. 2024 Jul;35:100796.
25. Tidey JW, Colby SM, Denlinger-Apte RL, Goodwin C, Cioe PA, Cassidy RN, et al. Effects of 6-Week use of very low nicotine content cigarettes in smokers with serious mental illness. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2019 Dec;21(Suppl 1):S38–45.
26. Tidey JW, Snell LM, Colby SM, Cassidy RN, Denlinger-Apte RL. Effects of very low nicotine content cigarettes on smoking across vulnerable populations. *Prev Med*. 2022 Dec;165:107099.
27. Cassidy RN, Tidey JW, Jackson KM, Cioe PA, Murphy SE, Krishnan-Sarin S, et al. The impact of reducing nicotine content on adolescent cigarette smoking and nicotine exposure: results from a randomized controlled trial. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2023 Apr;25(5):918–27.
28. Smith TT, Koopmeiners JS, White CM, Denlinger-Apte RL, Pacek LR, De Jesús VR, et al. The impact of exclusive use of very low nicotine cigarettes on compensatory smoking: an inpatient crossover clinical trial. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 2020 Apr;29(4):880–6.
29. Hammond D, O'Connor RJ. Reduced nicotine cigarettes: smoking behavior and biomarkers of exposure among smokers not intending to quit. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 2014 Oct;23(10):2032–40.
30. Denlinger-Apte RL, White CM, Donny EC. What to expect from a low-nicotine product standard for cigarettes: a response to “denicotinized” tobacco. *JAMA*. 2025 Feb;333(5):371–2.
31. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Statement from FDA Commissioner Scott Gottlieb, M.D., on pivotal public health step to dramatically reduce smoking rates by lowering nicotine in combustible cigarettes to minimally or non-addictive levels [Internet]. 2018. Available from: <https://web.archive.org/web/20181211192642/https://www.fda.gov/NewsEvents/Newsroom/PressAnnouncements/ucm601039.htm>
32. Gendall P, Popova L, Thrasher J, Hoek J. Nicotine beliefs and perceptions of low nicotine labels and mitigating statements among people who do and do not smoke: a cross-sectional study from Aotearoa New Zealand. *Tob Control*. 2024 Jan 9; Published online first.
33. Wilson N, Hoek J, Nghiem N, Summers J, Grout L, Edwards R. Modelling the impacts of tobacco denicotinisation on achieving the Smokefree 2025 goal in Aotearoa New Zealand. *N Z Med J*. 2022 Jan;135(1548):65–76.
34. Ait Ouakrim D, Wilson T, Waa A, Maddox R, Andrabi H, Mishra S, et al. Tobacco endgame intervention impacts on health gains and Māori:non-Māori health inequity: a simulation study of the Aotearoa/New Zealand Tobacco Action Plan. *Tob Control*. 2024 Nov;33(e2):e173–84.
35. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. FDA proposes significant step toward reducing nicotine to minimally or nonaddictive level in cigarettes and certain other combusted tobacco products [Internet]. 2025. Available from: <https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-proposes-significant-step-toward-reducing-nicotine-minimally-or-nonaddictive-level-cigarettes#:~:text=The%20proposed%20rule%20would%20not,products%20on%20the%20market%20today>

36. Apelberg BJ, Feirman SP, Salazar E, Corey CG, Ambrose BK, Paredes A, et al. Potential public health effects of reducing nicotine levels in cigarettes in the United States. *N Engl J Med*. 2018 May;378(18):1725–33.
37. Hoek J, Edwards R, Waa A. Tobacco industry interference: is the new government meeting its international obligations? [Internet]. Public Health Communication Centre Aotearoa; 2024 Feb. Available from: <https://www.phcc.org.nz/briefing/tobacco-industry-interference-new-government-meeting-its-international-obligations>
38. Havermans A, Pieper E, Henkler-Stephani F, Talhout R. Feasibility of manufacturing tobacco with very low nicotine levels. *Tob Regul Sci*. 2020 Nov;6(6):405–25.
39. Fairman RT, Cho YJ, Popova L, Cummings KM, Smith TT, Fong GT, et al. Support for nicotine reduction in cigarettes: findings from the 2016 and 2020 ITC Four Country Smoking and Vaping Surveys. *Tob Control*. 2023 Dec 8; Published online first.
40. Chung-Hall J, Fong GT, Driezen P, Craig L. Smokers' support for tobacco endgame measures in Canada: findings from the 2016 International Tobacco Control Smoking and Vaping Survey. *CMAJ Open*. 2018 Sep;6(3):E412–22.
41. Fong G, Stanley J, Nip J, Waa A, Ball J, El-Shadan T, et al. Awareness, support, and perceived impacts of New Zealand's Smokefree Aotearoa 2025 legislation among adults who smoke or formerly smoked. Findings from the Oct-Dec 2022 EASE/ITC New Zealand Survey. University of Waterloo and University of Otago; 2023 Dec.
42. Pearson JL, Abrams DB, Niaura RS, Richardson A, Vallone DM. Public support for mandated nicotine reduction in cigarettes. *Am J Public Health*. 2013 Mar;103(3):562–7.
43. Hammond D, Reid J, Ball J, Edwards R, Hoek J, Waa A, et al. Support and perceived impact of key smokefree policies in Aotearoa/New Zealand: findings from the ITC Youth and Young Adult Survey. University of Waterloo; 2023 Dec.
44. Bialous S, Freeman B. Communication challenges of a tobacco addictiveness reduction policy. *Tob Induc Dis*. 2021 May;19:38.
45. Shi R, Feldman R, Liu J, Clark PI. Correcting misperceptions about very low nicotine cigarettes for cigarette-only smokers, dual/poly smokers, other tobacco users, and non-tobacco users. *Prev Med Rep*. 2024 Oct;46:102856.
46. Edwards R, Hoek J, Waa A. Smokefree Aotearoa - world-leading developments with profound public health implications [Internet]. 2023. Available from: <https://www.phcc.org.nz/briefing/smokefree-aotearoa-world-leading-developments-profound-public-health-implications>

(ii) Ban on all flavouring agents and other additives in tobacco products

1. Conference of the Parties to the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control. Partial guidelines for implementation of Articles 9 and 10 [Internet]. World Health Organization; 2017. Available from: <https://fctc.who.int/resources/publications/m/item/regulation-of-the-contents-of-tobacco-products-and-regulation-of-tobacco-product-disclosures>
2. Rossheim ME, Livingston MD, Krall JR, Barnett TE, Thombs DL, McDonald KK, et al. Cigarette use before and after the 2009 flavored cigarette ban. *J Adolescent Health*. 2020 Sep;67(3):432–7.
3. Courtemanche CJ, Palmer MK, Pesko MF. Influence of the flavored cigarette ban on adolescent tobacco use. *Am J Prev Med*. 2017 May;52(5):e139–46.

4. Chung-Hall J, Fong GT, Meng G, Cummings KM, Hyland A, O'Connor RJ, et al. Evaluating the impact of menthol cigarette bans on cessation and smoking behaviours in Canada: longitudinal findings from the Canadian arm of the 2016–2018 ITC Four Country Smoking and Vaping Surveys. *Tob Control*. 2022 Jul;31(4):556–63.
5. Kyriakos CN, Driezen P, Fong G, Chung-Hall J, Hyland A, Geboers C, et al. Impact of the European Union's menthol cigarette ban on smoking cessation outcomes: longitudinal findings from the 2020–2021 ITC Netherlands Surveys. *Tobacco Control*. 2024 May;33(3):302–9.
6. Mills SD, Peddireddy S, Kurtzman R, Hill F, Catalan V, Bissram JS, et al. The impact of menthol cigarette bans: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2025 Jan;27(2):179–91.
7. Tobacco Tactics, University of Bath. Tobacco Tactics. 2024. Waterpipe. Available from: <https://www.tobaccotactics.org/article/waterpipe/>
8. Reiter A, Hébert-Losier A, Mylocopos G, Filion KB, Windle SB, O'Loughlin JL, et al. Regulatory strategies for preventing and reducing nicotine vaping among youth: a systematic review. *Am J Prev Med*. 2024 Jan;66(1):169–81.
9. Oliveira da Silva AL, Bialous S. Global landscape of nicotine and tobacco products additives policies. *Tob Control*. 2024 October 14; Published online first.
10. European Commission. Report from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee, and the Committee of the Regions on the Application of Directive 2014/40/EU concerning manufacture, presentation and sale of tobacco and related products [Internet]. 2021. Available from: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?qid=1621500846386&uri=COM%3A2021%3A249%3AFIN>
11. Talhout R, van de Nobelen S, Kienhuis AS. An inventory of methods suitable to assess additive-induced characterising flavours of tobacco products. *Drug Alcohol Dep*. 2016 Apr;161:9–14.
12. Borland T, D'Souza SA, O'Connor S, Chaiton MO, Schwartz R. Is blue the new green? Repackaging menthol cigarettes in response to a flavour ban in Ontario, Canada. *Tob Control*. 2019 Aug;28(e1):E7–12.
13. Tobacco Tactics. Tobacco Tactics, University of Bath. 2022. Flavoured and menthol tobacco. Available from: <https://www.tobaccotactics.org/article/flavoured-and-menthol-tobacco/>
14. Kyriakos CN, Chung-Hall J, Craig LV, Fong GT. Optimising a product standard for banning menthol and other flavours in tobacco products. *Tob Control*. 2025;34:239–241.
15. Oliveira da Silva AL, Lempert LK, Glantz SA. More than a “characterizing flavor”: menthol at subliminal levels in tobacco products. *Drug Alcohol Dep*. 2024 Aug;261:111346.
16. Talhout R, Leventhal AM. Coolants, organic acids, flavourings and other additives that facilitate inhalation of tobacco and nicotine products: implications for regulation. *Tob Control*. 2024 Sep 27:tc-2024-058738. Published online first.
17. Government of Canada. Tobacco and Vaping Products Act 1997, c.13, s.1; 2018, c.9, s.2 [Internet]. 2018. Available from: <https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/T-11.5/>
18. German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment. No more menthol in cigarettes and smoking tobacco. 2020.
19. Federale Overheidsdienst Volksgezondheid. Verduidelijking van artikel 5 van het koninklijk besluit van 5/02/2016. [Internet]. 2022. Available from: https://www.health.belgium.be/sites/default/files/uploads/fields/fpshealth_theme_file/nl_art5_inhalation_facilitation.pdf

20. Officiële Bekendmakingen. Informatie over Staatscourant 2024, 7582 [Internet]. 2024. Available from: <https://zoek.officiëlebekendmakingen.nl/stcrt-2024-7582>
21. RIVM, BfR, ANSES, NIPH, ISS, WP9 Independent Review Panel. WP9: D9.3 Report on the peer review of the enhanced reporting information on priority additives [Internet]. 2020 Dec. Report No.: Doc. Ref. N: D9.3. Available from: <https://jaotc.eu/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/D9.3-Report-on-the-peer-review-of-the-enhanced-reporting-information-on-priority-additives.pdf>
22. Villanti AC, Collins LK, Niaura RS, Gagosian SY, Abrams DB. Menthol cigarettes and the public health standard: a systematic review. BMC Public Health. 2017 Dec;17(1):983.
23. Brown J, Zhu M, Moran M, Hoe C, Frejas F, Cohen JE. 'It has candy. You need to press on it': young adults' perceptions of flavoured cigarettes in the Philippines. Tob Control. 2021 May ;30(3):293–8.
24. Brasil Agência Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária. Resolução de Diretoria Colegiada - RDC no 14 de 15 março de 2012. Dispõe sobre os limites máximos de alcatrão, nicotina e monóxido de carbono nos cigarros e a restrição do uso de aditivos nos produtos fumígenos derivados do tabaco, e dá outras providências. [Internet]. RDC 14/2012 2012. Available from: http://portal.anvisa.gov.br/documents/10181/2978962/RDC_14_2012_.pdf/baeb28a7-90fc-49f3-9bf8-761de80af0b7
25. Government of Canada. Tobacco and Vaping Products Act (S.C. 1997, c. 13) [Internet]. Available from: <http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/T-11.5/>
26. Schillo BA, D'Silva J, La Rose C, Kim Y, Emery S. Youth and young adult awareness of and perceptions about tobacco marketing as a social justice issue. Nicotine Tob Res. 2024 May;26 (Suppl 2):S89–95.
27. Payán DD, Burke NJ, Persinger J, Martinez J, Barker LJ, Song AV. Public support for policies to regulate flavoured tobacco and e-cigarette products in rural California. Tob Control. 2023 Apr;32(e1):e125–9.
28. Kyriakos CN, Fong GT, de Abreu Perez C, Szklo AS, Driezen P, Quah ACK, et al. Brazilian smokers are ready for the ban on flavour additives in tobacco to be implemented. Prev Med. 2022 Jul;160:107074.
29. Pennings JLA, Pauwels CGGM, Schenk E, Talhout R. Circumventing cigarette regulation: Product characteristics of cigarette-like cigarillos on the Dutch market. Tob Induc Dis. 2023;21:91.
30. Delnevo CD, Hrywna M, Giovenco DP, Miller EJM, O'Connor RJ. Close, but no cigar: certain cigars are pseudo-cigarettes designed to evade regulation. Tob Control. 2017 May;26(3):349–54.
31. Cadham CJ, Sanchez-Romero LM, Fleischer NL, Mistry R, Hirschtick JL, Meza R, et al. The actual and anticipated effects of a menthol cigarette ban: a scoping review. BMC Public Health. 2020 Jul;20(1):1055.
32. Havermans A, Pauwels CGGM, Bakker-'t Hart IME, Fayokun R, van Nierop LE, Hellmich IM, et al. Across the world availability of flavour accessories for tobacco products. Tob Control. 2024 Apr 5: tc-2023-058255. Published online first.
33. Merckel C, Pragst F, Ratzinger A, Aebi B, Bernhard W, Sporkert F. Application of headspace solid phase microextraction to qualitative and quantitative analysis of tobacco additives in cigarettes. J Chromatogr A. 2006 May;1116(1):10–9.
34. Ai J, Taylor KM, Lisko JG, Tran H, Watson CH, Holman MR. Menthol content in US marketed cigarettes. Nicotine Tob Res. 2016 Jul;18(7):1575–80.

35. Chung-Hall J, Fong GT, Meng G, Craig LV. Illicit cigarette purchasing after implementation of menthol cigarette bans in Canada: findings from the 2016–2018 ITC Four Country Smoking and Vaping Surveys. *Tob Control*. 2024 Apr;33(e1):e128–31.
36. Chaiton MO, Nicolau I, Schwartz R, Cohen JE, Soule E, Zhang B, et al. Ban on menthol-flavoured tobacco products predicts cigarette cessation at 1 year: a population cohort study. *Tob Control*. 2020 May;29(3):341–7.
37. Kyriakos C, Driezen P, Fong GT, Chung-Hall J, Hyland A, Goebbers C, et al. Illicit purchasing and use of flavor accessories before and after the EU menthol cigarette ban: findings from the 2020–2021 ITC Netherlands Surveys. *Tob Prev Cessation*. 2022;8(Supplement):A25.
38. Kock L, Shahab L, Bogdanovica I, Brown J. Profile of menthol cigarette smokers in the months following the removal of these products from the market: a cross-sectional population survey in England. *Tob Control*. 2023;32:e121–4.

(iii) Tobacco supply freeze on brands and reduction of variants

1. World Health Organization. Tobacco: poisoning our planet [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2022 May. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240051287>
2. Gouhmani HA, Lagou I, Vardavas AI, Vardavas C. Heated tobacco products: a new challenge for environmental impact assessment. *Public Health Toxicol*. 2023;3(4):23.
3. Campaign for Tobacco-Free Kids. The environmental impact of tobacco products [Internet]. 2025. Available from: <https://assets.tobaccofreekids.org/factsheets/0430.pdf>
4. STOP. The real cost of heated tobacco products: social and environmental impacts of mining [Internet]. STOP Blogs. 2024. Available from: <https://exposetobacco.org/news/electronic-tobacco-mining-impacts/>
5. Agência Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária. RDC Resolution No. 46, of August 28, 2009 [Internet]. Diário Oficial da União; 2009. Available from: <https://assets.tobaccocontrollaws.org/uploads/legislation/Brazil/Brazil-RDC-No.-462009.pdf>
6. Agência Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária. Resolution RDC No. 14 of March 15, 2012 [Internet]. 2012. Available from: https://bvsmms.saude.gov.br/bvs/saudelegis/anvisa/2012/rdc0014_15_03_2012.html
7. Thomson G, Wilson N, Blakely T, Edwards R. Ending appreciable tobacco use in a nation: using a sinking lid on supply. *Tob Control*. 2010 Oct;19(5):431–5.
8. Wilson N, Thomson GW, Edwards R, Blakely T. Potential advantages and disadvantages of an endgame strategy: a ‘sinking lid’ on tobacco supply. *Tob Control*. 2013 May;22(Suppl 1):i18–21.
9. World Health Organization. WHO report on the global tobacco epidemic, 2023: protect people from tobacco smoke [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2023 Jul. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240077164>
10. Assunta M, Chamberlain P. Ensuring a safe, tobacco free future for the young: protecting children from tobacco industry interference. *Tob Control*. 2024 May;33(3):281–2.
11. Romeo-Stuppy K, Huber L. Human rights violations by tobacco multinationals in Switzerland and around the world [Internet]. Geneva: OxySuisse; 2024 Oct. Available from: https://ash.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/10/HumanRights_Report_TnT.pdf

12. Salloum RG, Haider MR, Barnett TE, Guo Y, Getz KR, Thrasher JF, et al. Waterpipe tobacco smoking and susceptibility to cigarette smoking among young adults in the United States, 2012–2013. *Prev Chronic Dis*. 2016;13:150505.
13. O'Connor R, Schneller LM, Felicione NJ, Talhout R, Goniewicz ML, Ashley DL. Evolution of tobacco products: recent history and future directions. *Tob Control*. 2022;31(2):175–82.
14. Chugh A, Arora M, Jain N, Vidyasagaran A, Readshaw A, Sheikh A, et al. The global impact of tobacco control policies on smokeless tobacco use: a systematic review. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2023 Jun;11(6):e953–68.
15. Santos IS, dos Santos JM, Karam SA. Lifetime and active use of electronic cigarettes among Brazilian adolescents: the 2019 national school health survey – PeNSE 2019. *Public Health*. 2025 Jan;238:117–23.
16. Bertoni N, Szklo AS. Dispositivos eletrônicos para fumar nas capitais brasileiras: prevalência, perfil de uso e implicações para a Política Nacional de Controle do Tabaco. *Cad Saúde Pública*. 2021 Jul;37:e00261920.
17. Oliveira da Silva AL, Moreira JC. A proibição dos cigarros eletrônicos no Brasil: sucesso ou fracasso? *Ciênc Saúde Coletiva*. 2019;24(8):3013–24.
18. Crosbie E, Sosa P, Glantz SA. Defending strong tobacco packaging and labelling regulations in Uruguay: transnational tobacco control network versus Philip Morris International. *Tob Control*. 2018 Mar;27(2):185–94.
19. Campaign for Tobacco-Free Kids. Litigation spotlight: Philip Morris v. Uruguay [Internet]. 2025. Available from: <https://www.tobaccofreekids.org/what-we-do/global/legal/trade-and-investment/philip-morris-v-uruguay>
20. DeAtley T, Bianco E, Welding K, Cohen JE. Compliance with Uruguay's single presentation requirement. *Tob Control*. 2018 Mar;27(2):220–4.
21. Mucan B, Moodie C. Young adult smokers' perceptions of plain packs, numbered packs and pack inserts in Turkey: a focus group study. *Tob Control*. 2018 Nov;27(6):631–6.
22. Mitchell D, Moodie C, Ford A, MacKintosh AM, Critchlow N, Bauld L. Youth perceptions of Brand variant names on standardised cigarette packs, and responses to replacing these with numbers: a focus group study in Britain. *Drugs Educ Prev Policy*. 2022 Sep;29(5):528–35.
23. Sunday S, Hanafin J, Clancy L. Increased smoking and e-cigarette use among Irish teenagers: a new threat to Tobacco Free Ireland 2025. *ERJ Open Res*. 2021 Oct;7(4):00438–2021.
24. Hammond D, Reid J, Burkhalter R, Hong D. Trends in smoking and vaping among young people. Findings from the ITC Youth Survey. University of Waterloo; 2023 May. Available from: <https://davidhammond.ca/wp-content/uploads/2023/08/2023-ITC-Youth-Report-Final.pdf>
25. Bhatnagar A, Whitsel LP, Blaha MJ, Huffman MD, Krishan-Sarin S, Maa J, et al. New and emerging tobacco products and the nicotine endgame: the role of robust regulation and comprehensive tobacco control and prevention: a Presidential advisory from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2019 May;139(19).
26. Public Health Agency of Sweden. Use of tobacco and nicotine products among adolescents [Internet]. 2024. Available from: <https://www.folkhalsomyndigheten.se/the-public-health-agency-of-sweden/living-conditions-and-lifestyle/andtg/tobacco/use-of-tobacco-and-nicotine-products-among-adolescents/>

27. Popova L, Ling PM. Alternative tobacco product use and smoking cessation: a national study. *Am J Public Health*. 2013 May;103(5):923–30.
28. Lindson N, Butler AR, McRobbie H, Bullen C, Hajek P, Begh R, et al. Electronic cigarettes for smoking cessation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2024 Jan;1(1):CD010216.
29. Europe TLRH. E-cigarettes: public health saviour or Trojan Horse? *Lancet Reg Health – Eur*. 2024 Jul;42:100997.
30. World Health Organization. Tobacco use declines despite tobacco industry efforts to jeopardize progress [Internet]. 2024. Available from: <https://www.who.int/news/item/16-01-2024-tobacco-use-declines-despite-tobacco-industry-efforts-to-jeopardize-progress#:~:text=Globally>
31. Edwards R, Hoek J, Karreman N, Gilmore A. Evaluating tobacco industry ‘transformation’: a proposed rubric and analysis. *Tob Control*. 2022 Mar;31(2):313–21.
32. Hatsukami DK, Carroll DM. Tobacco harm reduction: past history, current controversies and a proposed approach for the future. *Prev Med*. 2020 Nov;140:106099.
33. Oliveira da Silva AL, Bialous S. Global landscape of nicotine and tobacco products additives policies. *Tob Control*. 2024 Oct 14; Published online first.
34. Mills SD, Peddireddy S, Kurtzman R, Hill F, Catalan V, Bissram JS, et al. The impact of menthol cigarette bans: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2025 Jan;27(2):179–91.
35. Conference of the Parties to the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control. Decision FCTC/COP6(8). Smokeless tobacco products [Internet]. World Health Organization; 2014. Available from: [https://apps.who.int/gb/fctc/PDF/cop6/FCTC_COP6\(8\)-en.pdf](https://apps.who.int/gb/fctc/PDF/cop6/FCTC_COP6(8)-en.pdf)
36. Conference of the Parties to the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control. Decision FCTC/COP6(9) Electronic nicotine delivery systems and electronic non-nicotine delivery systems [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014 Oct. Available from: [https://apps.who.int/gb/fctc/PDF/cop6/FCTC_COP6\(9\)-en.pdf](https://apps.who.int/gb/fctc/PDF/cop6/FCTC_COP6(9)-en.pdf)
37. Conference of the Parties to the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control. Decision FCTC/COP7(9) Electronic nicotine delivery systems and electronic non-nicotine delivery systems [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016 Nov. Available from: [https://fctc.who.int/resources/publications/m/item/fctc-cop7\(9\)-electronic-nicotine-delivery-systems-and-electronic-nonnicotine-delivery-systems](https://fctc.who.int/resources/publications/m/item/fctc-cop7(9)-electronic-nicotine-delivery-systems-and-electronic-nonnicotine-delivery-systems)
38. Conference of the Parties to the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control. Decision FCTC/COP8(22). Novel and emerging products [Internet]. World Health Organization; 2018. Available from: <https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/370546/fctc-cop-8-22-en.pdf>
39. Hoek J, Gendall P, Eckert C, Louviere J. Dissuasive cigarette sticks: the next step in standardised (‘plain’) packaging? *Tob Control*. 2016 Nov;25(6):699–705.
40. McCabe Centre for Law and Cancer. Forward-looking tobacco control measures and legal challenges. Background paper prepared for the Expert Group on forward-looking tobacco control measures (in relation to Article 2.1 of the WHO FCTC). 2025 Jan.
41. Steering Committee, Action Groups. A tobacco endgame for Canada. Background paper prepared for Summit hosted by Queen’s University [Internet]. 2016. Available from: <https://www.queensu.ca/gazette/sites/default/files/assets/attachments/EndgameSummit-Backgroundpaper%20.pdf>

(iv) Ban on cigarette filters

1. Kennedy P. Who made that cigarette filter? New York Times Magazine [Internet]. 2012 Jul 6; Available from: <https://www.nytimes.com/2012/07/08/magazine/who-made-that-cigarette-filter.html>
2. Pauly JL, Mepani AB, Lesses JD, Cummings KM, Streck RJ. Cigarettes with defective filters marketed for 40 years: what Philip Morris never told smokers. *Tob Control*. 2002 Mar;11 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):I51-61.
3. Williams J. Smokeprofy [Internet]. 10 Best cigarette filters. 2019. Available from: <https://smokeprofy.com/best-cigarette-filters/>
4. Hastrup JL, Cummings KM, Swedrock T, Hyland A, Pauly JL. Consumers' knowledge and beliefs about the safety of cigarette filters. *Tob Control*. 2001;10(1):84.
5. Diaz D, Luo X, Hatsukami DK, Donny EC, O'Connor RJ. Cigarette filter ventilation, smoking topography, and subjective effects: a mediational analysis. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2022 Dec;241:109683.
6. Song MA, Benowitz NL, Berman M, Brasky TM, Cummings KM, Hatsukami DK, et al. Cigarette filter ventilation and its relationship to increasing rates of lung adenocarcinoma. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2017 Dec;109(12):dix075.
7. Novotny TE, Hamzai L. Cellulose acetate cigarette filter is hazardous to human health. *Tob Control*. 2024 Sep;33(5):663–8.
8. Sy DK. Tobacco industry accountability for marine pollution: country and global estimates. *Tob Control*. 2024;33(e2):e214–7.
9. Belzagui F, Buscio V, Gutiérrez-Bouzán C, Vilaseca M. Cigarette butts as a microfiber source with a microplastic level of concern. *Sci Total Environ*. 2021 Mar 25;762:144165.
10. Conference of the Parties to the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control. Decision FCTC/COP10(14) Implementation of Article 18 of the WHO FCTC [Internet]. 2024 Feb. Available from: <https://ash.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/02/FCTC-COP10-14-en-Art-18.pdf>
11. World Health Organization. Ensuring the integration of health aspects within the international legally binding instrument on plastic pollution, including the marine environment [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2024 Nov. Available from: [https://cdn.who.int/media/docs/default-source/chemical-safety/plastics/who-inf-paper-for-inc5.pdf?sfvrsn=6ebbe6b6_3\(2024\)doi:10.5334/aogh.4459.5](https://cdn.who.int/media/docs/default-source/chemical-safety/plastics/who-inf-paper-for-inc5.pdf?sfvrsn=6ebbe6b6_3(2024)doi:10.5334/aogh.4459.5)
12. Green DS, Almroth BC, Altman R, Bergmann M, Gündoğdu S, Warriar AK, et al. Time to kick the butt of the most common litter item in the world: Ban cigarette filters. *Sci Total Environ*. 2023 Mar;865:161256.
13. Office of the U.S. Surgeon General, Office on Smoking and Health. The health consequences of smoking: a report of the Surgeon General [Internet]. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2004. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20669512/>
14. National Cancer Institute. Risks associated with smoking cigarettes with low-machine measured yields of tar and nicotine. Smoking and Tobacco Control Monograph No. 13. Bethesda, MD: US Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health, National Cancer Institute; 2001. Report No.: NIH Publication No. 02-5074.
15. Stratton K, Shetty P, Wallace R, Bondurant S. Clearing the smoke. Assessing the science base for tobacco harm reduction [Internet]. Washington, DC: National Academies Press (US); 2001. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK222375/>

16. Novotny TE, Lum K, Smith E, Wang V, Barnes R. Cigarettes butts and the case for an environmental policy on hazardous cigarette waste. *IJERPH*. 2009 May;6(5):1691–705.
17. Conference of the Parties to the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control. Partial guidelines for implementation of Articles 9 and 10 [Internet]. World Health Organization; 2017. Available from: <https://fctc.who.int/resources/publications/m/item/regulation-of-the-contents-of-tobacco-products-and-regulation-of-tobacco-product-disclosures>
18. Pulvers K, Tracy L, Novotny TE, Satybaldiyeva N, Hunn A, Romero DR, et al. Switching people who smoke to unfiltered cigarettes: perceptions, addiction and behavioural effects in a cross-over randomised controlled trial. *Tob Control*. 2023;32(4):520–3.
19. Kyriakos CN, Zatoński MZ, Filippidis FT. Flavour capsule cigarette use and perceptions: a systematic review. *Tob Control*. 2023;32(e1):e83–94.
20. Vanapalli KR, Sharma HB, Anand S, Ranjan VP, Singh H, Dubey BK, et al. Cigarettes butt littering: the story of the world's most littered item from the perspective of pollution, remedial actions, and policy measures. *J Hazard Mater*. 2023;453:131387.
21. Everaert S, Schoeters G, Lardon F, Janssens A, Van Larebeke N, Raquez JM, et al. Protecting public health and the environment: towards a general ban on cellulose acetate cigarette filters in the European Union. *Front Public Health*. 2023 Oct;11:1282655.
22. Novotny TE, Slaughter E. Tobacco product waste: an environmental approach to reduce tobacco consumption. *Curr Environ Health Rep*. 2014;1(3):208–16.
23. Saavedra C. Public Health Law Center. 2023. Baltimore leads the way: taking the tobacco industry to court over toxic cigarette butt waste.
24. Chatterjee P. Spain tobacco firms to pay to clean up cigarette butts. BBC News [Internet]. 2023 Jan 6; Available from: <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-europe-64184451>
25. City and County of San Francisco, Treasurer and Tax Collector. Notice to cigarette retailers regarding cigarette litter abatement fee increase. 2023.
26. Hoppin J. County of Santa Cruz. 2024. Landmark vote prohibits sale of filtered tobacco products. Available from: <https://www.santacruzcountyca.gov/portals/0/county/CAO/press%20releases/2024/TobaccoFilters.10082024.pdf?rev=2024#:~:text=Voting%20unanimously%2C%20the%20Santa%20Cruz,restricting%20the%20use%20of%20plastics>
27. Sy DK, Momas C, Beguinot E, Arnold D, Kalmthout D van, Olefir L. Turning tobacco Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) into Extended Producer Liability (EPL): critical safeguards for the UN Plastics Treaty. *Tob Control*. Published online first: 2025 Aug 12.
28. Evans-Reeves K, Lauber K, Hiscock R. The 'filter fraud' persists: the tobacco industry is still using filters to suggest lower health risks while destroying the environment. *Tob Control*. 2022 Aug;31(e1):e80–2.
29. Carroll DM, Tessier KM, Cummings KM, O'Connor RJ, Reisinger S, Shields PG, et al. Risk perceptions and continued smoking as a function of cigarette filter ventilation level among US youth and young adults who smoke. *Tob Control*. 2023 Jul;32(4):473–9.
30. Montalvão MF, Chagas TQ, Gabriela da Silva Alvarez T, Mesak C, Pereira da Costa Araújo A, Gomes AR, et al. Cigarette butt leachate as a risk factor to the health of freshwater bivalve. *Chemosphere*. 2019;234:379–87.

31. Swiss Association for Tobacco Control. How the tobacco industry is trying to avoid a ban on filters [Internet]. 2024. Available from: <https://www.at-schweiz.ch/en/knowledge/sustainability/plastics-tobacco/filter-ban/>
32. UK Government. Government crackdown on single-use vapes [Internet]. 2024. Available from: <https://www.gov.uk/government/news/government-crackdown-on-single-use-vapes>
33. Schep E, De Vris J, Schilling J. Reduceren van sigarettenfilters in het zwerfafval. Studie naar de grootte van het probleem en analyse van mogelijke beleidsmaatregelen. [Internet]. Delft: CE Delft; 2022. Available from: <https://ce.nl/publicaties/reduceren-van-sigarettenfilters-in-het-zwerfafval/#:~:text=Deze%20studie%20laat%20zien%20dat,reductiedoel%20van%207025%20in%202026>
34. Gravely S, Novotny TE, Cummings KM, East KA, Hyland A, Driezen P, et al. Opposition to banning cigarette filters and the belief that removing filters makes cigarettes much more harmful among adults who smoke: findings from the 2022 International Tobacco Control Four Country Smoking and Vaping Survey. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2024 Nov 16; Published online first.
35. Fong G, Gravely S. The filter myth: widespread misconceptions among INC5 attendees could undermine efforts to ban cigarette filters in the Global Plastics Treaty [Internet]. Action on Smoking and Health Blog. 2025. Available from: <https://ash.org/day-4-plastics-inc5/>

(d) Consumer

(i) Expansion of smoke-free venues in private/semi-private environments and reduction of exposure to second- and third-hand tobacco smoke

1. Conference of the Parties to the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control. Guidelines for implementation of Article 8 [Internet]. World Health Organization; 2013. Available from: <https://fctc.who.int/resources/publications/m/item/protection-from-exposure-to-tobacco-smoke>
2. Frazer K, Callinan JE, McHugh J, van Baarsel S, Clarke A, Doherty K, et al. Legislative smoking bans for reducing harms from secondhand smoke exposure, smoking prevalence and tobacco consumption. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2016 Feb;2(2):CD005992.
3. Monson E, Arsenault N. Effects of enactment of legislative (public) smoking bans on voluntary home smoking restrictions: a review. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2017 Feb;19(2):141–8.
4. Tattan-Birch H, Jarvis MJ. Children's exposure to second-hand smoke 10 years on from smoke-free legislation in England: cotinine data from the Health Survey for England 1998-2018. *Lancet Reg Health – Eur.* 2022 Apr;15:100315.
5. Been JV, Millett C, Lee JT, Schayck CP van, Sheikh A. Smoke-free legislation and childhood hospitalisations for respiratory tract infections. *Eur Respir J.* 2015 Sep;46(3):697–706.
6. Been JV, Mackay DF, Millett C, Pell JP, van Schayck OC, Sheikh A. Impact of smoke-free legislation on perinatal and infant mortality: a national quasi-experimental study. *Sci Rep.* 2015 Aug;5(1):13020.
7. World Health Organization. 2023 global progress report on implementation of the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control [Internet]. Geneva, Switzerland; 2023. Available from: <https://fctc.who.int/publications/m/item/2023-global-progress-report>

8. Carreras G, Lachi A, Cortini B, Gallus S, López MJ, López-Nicolás Á, et al. Burden of disease from second-hand tobacco smoke exposure at home among adults from European Union countries in 2017: an analysis using a review of recent meta-analyses. *Prev Med*. 2021 Apr;145:106412.
9. Carreras G, Lachi A, Cortini B, Gallus S, López MJ, López-Nicolás Á, et al. Burden of disease from exposure to secondhand smoke in children in Europe. *Pediatr Res*. 2021 Jul;90(1):216–22.
10. Dobson R, Demou E, Semple S. Occupational exposure to second-hand tobacco smoke: development of a job exposure matrix. *Ann Work Expo Health*. 2021 Nov;65(9):1133–8.
11. Matt GE, Greiner L, Record RA, Wipfli H, Long J, Dodder NG, et al. Policy-relevant differences between secondhand and thirdhand smoke: strengthening protections from involuntary exposure to tobacco smoke pollutants. *Tob Control*. 2024;33:798–806.
12. Continente X, Henderson E, López-González L, Fernández E, Tigova O, Semple S, et al. Exposure to secondhand and thirdhand smoke in private vehicles: measurements in air and dust samples. *Environ Res*. 2023 Oct;235:116681.
13. Mills AL, Messer K, Gilpin EA, Pierce JP. The effect of smoke-free homes on adult smoking behavior: a review. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2009 Oct;11(10):1131–41.
14. Emory K, Saquib N, Gilpin EA, Pierce JP. The association between home smoking restrictions and youth smoking behaviour: a review. *Tob Control*. 2010 Dec;19(6):495–506.
15. Turner SL, Johnson RD, Weightman AL, Rodgers SE, Arthur G, Bailey R, et al. Risk factors associated with unintentional house fire incidents, injuries and deaths in high-income countries: a systematic review. *Inj Prev*. 2017 Apr;23(2):131–7.
16. UK Government. Detailed analysis of fires attended and response times by fire and rescue services, England, April 2023 to March 2024 [Internet]. 2024. Available from: <https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/detailed-analysis-of-fires-england-april-2023-to-march-2024/detailed-analysis-of-fires-attended-and-response-times-by-fire-and-rescue-services-england-april-2023-to-march-2024>
17. Snyder K, Vick JH, King BA. Smoke-free multiunit housing: a review of the scientific literature. *Tob Control*. 2016 Jan;25(1):9–20.
18. Ang L, Tan G, Van Der Eijk Y, Seow WJ. Second-hand smoke and fine particulate matter exposures among multi-unit housing residents in Singapore: a pilot study. *Environ Pollut*. 2023 Aug;331(Pt 2):121875.
19. Tsai J, Homa D, Gentzke A, Mahoney M, Sharapova S, Sosnoff C, et al. Exposure to secondhand smoke among nonsmokers - United States, 1988-2014. *Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2018 Jul;67(48):1342–6.
20. Eijk Y van der, Tan GPP, Teo O. Systems and policies to reduce secondhand smoke in multiunit housing in Singapore: a qualitative study. *Tob Control*. 2024 Jan;33(1):52–8.
21. Boderie N, Sheikh A, Lo E, Sheikh A, Burdorf A, van Lenthe F, et al. Public support for smoke-free policies in outdoor areas and (semi-)private places: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *eClinicalMedicine*. 2023 May;59:101982.
22. Jain YK, Bhardwaj P, Joshi NK, Singh PK, Lal P, Kapoor S, et al. India's environmental burden of tobacco use and its policy implications. *Lancet Reg Health - Southeast Asia*. 2024 Jan;20:100329.
23. Swope CB, Hernández D. Housing as a determinant of health equity: a conceptual model. *Soc Sci Med*. 2019 Dec;243:112571.

24. Nazar GP, Lee JT, Arora M, Millett C. Socioeconomic inequalities in secondhand smoke exposure at home and at work in 15 low- and middle-income countries. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2016 May;18(5): 1230–9.
25. Mbulo L, Palipudi K, Smith T, Owusu D, Williams F, Dean AK, et al. Secondhand smoke exposure inside the home among adults in eight countries in Sub-Saharan Africa: Global Adult Tobacco Survey, 2012-2018. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2023 Mar;25(4):828–37.
26. American Heart Association. 2024 heart disease and stroke statistics: a report of US and global data from the American Heart Association. *Circulation.* 2024 Feb;149(8):e347–913.
27. Helms VE, King BA, Ashley PJ. Cigarette smoking and adverse health outcomes among adults receiving federal housing assistance. *Prev Med.* 2017 Jun;99:171–7.
28. Ghassempour N, Tannous WK, Agho KE, Avsar G, Harvey LA. Comparison of causes, characteristics and consequences of residential fires in social and non-social housing dwellings in New South Wales, Australia. *Prev Med Rep.* 2022 Aug;28:101860.
29. Martin J, George R, Andrews K, Barr P, Bicknell D, Insull E, et al. Observed smoking in cars: a method and differences by socioeconomic area. *Tob Control.* 2006 Oct;15(5):409–11.
30. Huque R, Siddiqi K. Smoke-free homes: the final frontier. *Tob Prev Cessat.* 2021 Oct;7(October):1–3.
31. Mbulo L, Palipudi KM, Andes L, Morton J, Bashir R, Fouad H, et al. Secondhand smoke exposure at home among one billion children in 21 countries: findings from the Global Adult Tobacco Survey (GATS). *Tob Control.* 2016 Dec;25(e2):e95–100.
32. Wilson KM, Klein JD, Blumkin AK, Gottlieb M, Winickoff JP. Tobacco-smoke exposure in children who live in multiunit housing. *Pediatrics.* 2011 Jan;127(1):85–92.
33. Nanninga S, Lehne G, Ratz T, Bolte G. Impact of public smoking bans on social inequalities in children's exposure to tobacco smoke at home: an equity-focused systematic review. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2019 Oct;21(11):1462–72.
34. Research and Market. Global housing industry report 2023: construction of new housing is forecast to advance 2.1% per year through 2028 following a decline in 2023 vs 2022 [Internet]. 2024 [cited 2024 Oct 7]. Available from: <https://www.businesswire.com/news/home/20240208701049/en/Global-Housing-Industry-Report-2023-Construction-of-New-Housing-is-Forecast-to-Advance-2.1-per-Year-through-2028-Following-a-Delay-in-2023-vs-2022---ResearchAndMarkets.com>
35. Nargis N, Li Q, Griffin L, Asare S, Bandi P, Majmundar A, et al. Association of teleworking and smoking behavior of U.S. wage and salary workers. *J Occup Health.* 2021 Oct;63(1):e12283.
36. Gehlert S, Rees VW, Choi K, Jackson PD, Sheehan BE, Grucza RA, et al. COVID-19 stay-at-home orders and secondhand smoke in public housing. *Am J Prev Med.* 2023 Sep;65(3):512–6.
37. World Meteorological Organization. WMO bulletin: heatwaves worsen air quality and pollution. Press release. [Internet]. 2023. Available from: <https://wmo.int/news/media-centre/wmo-bulletin-heatwaves-worsen-air-quality-and-pollution>
38. Ulpiani G. On the linkage between urban heat island and urban pollution island: three-decade literature review towards a conceptual framework. *Sci Total Environ.* 2021 Jan;751:141727.
39. Kingsbury JH, Reckinger D. Clearing the air: smoke-free housing policies, smoking, and secondhand smoke exposure among affordable housing residents in Minnesota. *Prev Chronic Dis.* 2016 Aug;13:E111.

40. Young W, Karp S, Bialick P, Liverance C, Seder A, Berg E, et al. Health, secondhand smoke exposure, and smoking behavior impacts of no-smoking policies in public housing, Colorado, 2014–2015. *Prev Chronic Dis*. 2016 Oct;13:E148.
41. Klassen AC, Lee NL, Pankiewicz A, Ward R, Shuster M, Ogbenna BT, et al. Secondhand smoke exposure and smoke-free policy in Philadelphia public housing. *Tob Regul Sci*. 2017 Apr;3(2):192–203.
42. Garritsen HH, Khan F, Rozema AD, Navas-Acien A, Hernández D. Associations of smoke-free policies in multi-unit housing with smoking behavior and second-hand smoke exposure: a systematic review. *Addiction*. 2024 Dec 6; Published online first.
43. Jiang N, Gill E, Thorpe LE, Rogers ES, de Leon C, Anastasiou E, et al. Implementing the federal smoke-free public housing policy in New York City: understanding challenges and opportunities for improving policy impact. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021 Nov;18(23):12565.
44. Horn K, Dearfield CT, Beth Johnson S, Krost K, Rincon Gallardo Patino S, Gray T, et al. Smoking cessation intentions and attempts one year after the federally mandated smoke-free housing rule. *Prev Med Rep*. 2021 Dec;24:101600.
45. Thorpe LE, Anastasiou E, Wyka K, Tovar A, Gill E, Rule A, et al. Evaluation of secondhand smoke exposure in New York City public housing after implementation of the 2018 federal smoke-free housing policy. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2020 Nov;3(11):e2024385.
46. Anastasiou E, Gordon T, Wyka K, Tovar A, Gill E, Rule AM, et al. Long-term trends in secondhand smoke exposure in high-rise housing serving low-income residents in New York City: three-year evaluation of a federal smoking ban in public housing, 2018–2021. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2023 Jan 1;25(1):164–169.
47. Anastasiou E, Thorpe LE, Wyka K, Elbel B, Shelley D, Kaplan S, et al. Evaluation of federally mandated smoke-free housing policy and health outcomes among adults over the age of 50 in low-income, public housing in New York City, 2015–2022. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2025 Apr;ntaf046. Epub ahead of print.
48. Lai G, Morphet K, Ait Ouakrim D, Mason KE, Howe S, Rees VW, et al. Tobacco control interventions for populations living in subsidised, low-income housing: a scoping review. *Public Health Res Pract*. 2024 Apr;34(1):1–9.
49. Behbod B, Sharma M, Baxi R, Roseby R, Webster P. Family and carer smoking control programmes for reducing children’s exposure to environmental tobacco smoke. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2018 Jan 31;1(1):CD001746.
50. Rosen LJ, Myers V, Winickoff JP, Kott J. Effectiveness of interventions to reduce tobacco smoke pollution in homes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2015 Dec;12(12):16043.
51. Nanninga S, Lhachimi SK, Bolte G. Impact of public smoking bans on children’s exposure to tobacco smoke at home: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health*. 2018 Jun;18(1):749.
52. Radó MK, Mölenberg FJM, Westenberg LEH, Sheikh A, Millett C, Burdorf A, et al. Effect of smoke-free policies in outdoor areas and private places on children’s tobacco smoke exposure and respiratory health: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Public Health*. 2021 Aug;6(8):e566–78.
53. Byron MJ, Cohen JE, Frattaroli S, Gittelsohn J, Drope JM, Jernigan DH. Implementing smoke-free policies in low- and middle-income countries: a brief review and research agenda. *Tob Induc Dis*. 2019 Aug;17(August):60.
54. Frieden TR. A framework for public health action: the health impact pyramid. *Am J Public Health*. 2010 Apr;100(4):590–5.

55. Goldberg SL, Levy DE. Not just public housing: an ethical analysis of expanding smoke-free housing policies in the United States. *Public Health Rep.* 2022 Jun;138(3):401–5.
56. Plunk AD, Rees VW, Jeng A, Wray JA, Grucza RA. Increases in secondhand smoke after going smoke-free: an assessment of the impact of a mandated smoke-free housing policy. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2020 Dec;22(12):2254–6.
57. Passey ME, Longman JM, Robinson J, Wiggers J, Jones LL. Smoke-free homes: what are the barriers, motivators and enablers? A qualitative systematic review and thematic synthesis. *BMJ Open.* 2016 Mar;6(3):e010260.
58. Nomura. Employee-friendly work environment [Internet]. [cited 2025 Feb 4]. Available from: <https://www.nomuraholdings.com/sustainability/employee/support.html>
59. Mitchell C. Staff are banned from smoking – even when working from home – by Japanese brokers Nomura. *Daily Mail* [Internet]. 2021 Sep 3. Available from: <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-9954265/Staff-banned-smoking-working-HOME-Japanese-brokers-Nomura.html>
60. Smoking at home to soon become a punishable offence in Thailand. *The Straits Times* [Internet]. 2019 Jun 24. Available from: <https://www.straitstimes.com/asia/se-asia/smoking-at-home-to-soon-become-a-punishable-offence-in-thailand>
61. Grace CA, Greenhalgh EM. 15.6 Smoking bans in the home and car. *Tob Aust Facts Issues* [Internet]. 2022 Oct 16. Available from: <https://www.tobaccoinaustralia.org.au/chapter-15-smokefree-environment/15-6-domestic-environments>
62. Australian Government Department of Health and Aged Care. National Tobacco Strategy 2023–2030 [Internet]. Australian Government Department of Health and Aged Care; 2023 May. Available from: <https://www.health.gov.au/resources/publications/national-tobacco-strategy-2023-2030?language=en>
63. Kibria MG, Islam T, Badiuzzaman M, Mamun AA, Sultana P, Hawlader MDH. Assessing the choice of smoke-free policies for multiunit housing and its associated determinants in Bangladesh: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open.* 2024 Apr;14(4):e074928.
64. Kibria MG, Hossain A, Islam T, Islam KR, Mahmud HMM, Nabi MH, et al. Secondhand smoke exposure and associated factors among city residents living in multiunit housing in Bangladesh. *PLOS One.* 2023;18(9):e0291746.
65. World Health Organization. Smokefree homes factsheet for policy makers [Internet]. 2023. Available from: <https://www.who.int/andorra/publications/m/item/smokefree-homes-factsheet-for-policy-makers>
66. Ministry of Social Affairs and Health, Finland. Development of tobacco and nicotine policy: proposals for action by the working group 2023 [Internet]. Helsinki: Ministry of Social Affairs and Health; 2023 Apr. Available from: <https://julkaisut.valtioneuvosto.fi/handle/10024/164845>
67. Government of Lithuania. Balcony smoking in multi-apartment buildings shall be prohibited where opposed by at least one resident [Internet]. 2020. Available from: https://www.lrs.lt/sip/portal.show?p_r=35403&p_k=2&p_t=272718
68. Bieliavska J. Lithuanian News. 2025. It is proposed to ban smoking on apartment building balconies only in cases where more than half the residents agree. Available from: <https://www.madeinvilnius.lt/en/news/Lithuanian-news/It-is-proposed-to-ban-smoking-on-apartment-balconies-only-in-cases-where-more-than-half-of-the-residents-agree./>
69. World Health Organization. Progress made in implementing Article 8 [Internet]. 2023. Available from: <https://extranet.who.int/fctcapps/fctcapps/fctc/implementation-database/indicators/progress-made-implementing-article-8>

70. Driezen P, Fong GT, Hyland A, Craig LV, Sansone G, Hitchman SC, et al. Self-reported exposure to secondhand smoke and support for complete smoking bans in multiunit housing among smokers in the United States, Canada, and the United Kingdom. *Prev Chronic Dis*. 2020 Nov;17:E147.
71. American Nonsmokers' Rights Foundation. U.S. Laws for smokefree multi-unit housing [Internet]. 2025 Apr. Available from: <http://no-smoke.org/wp-content/uploads/pdf/smokefreemuh.pdf>
72. Galiatsatos P, Koehl R, Caufield-Noll C, Brigham E, Leone FT, Eakin M, et al. Proposal for smoke-free public housing: a systematic review of attitudes and preferences from residents of multi-unit housing. *J Public Health Policy*. 2020 Dec;41(4):496–514.
73. Theitler N, Rees VW, Peled-Raz M, Bitan M, Rosen LJ. Tobacco smoke incursion into private residences in Israel: a cross-sectional study examining public perceptions of private rights and support for governmental policies. *Isr J Health Policy Res*. 2023 Jul 21;12(1):25.
74. Israel Central Bureau of Statistics. Ownership of physical assets of households - initial findings from the longitudinal survey 2013. 2015.
75. Rosen LJ, Steinberg D, Fong GT, Gravely SC, Quah ACK, Rees V. Public support for protection from tobacco smoke incursion into private homes: findings from ITC Israel Surveys 2022 and 2024. Medical Faculty Research Fair of Tel Aviv University; 2025 Apr; Ramat Aviv, Israel.
76. Rosen L. The right to breathe smoke-free air in one's own home - opinion. Comment on the current Supreme Court case (1416/21). *Jerusalem Post* [Internet]. 2022 May 31; Available from: <https://www.jpost.com/health-and-wellness/article-708163>
77. Mj H, Sd S, J A, M N. Secondhand smoke in apartment buildings: renter and owner or manager perspectives. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2007 Jan;9(Suppl 1):S39–47.
78. Hennrikus D, Pentel PR, Sandell SD. Preferences and practices among renters regarding smoking restrictions in apartment buildings. *Tob Control*. 2003 Jun;12(2):189–94.
79. Kaufman P, Kang J, Kennedy RD, Beck P, Ferrence R. Impact of smoke-free housing policy lease exemptions on compliance, enforcement and smoking behavior: A qualitative study. *Prev Med Rep*. 2018 Jun;10:29–36.
80. Canterbury District Health Board. Evaluation of the adoption and implementation of the Christchurch City Council smokefree social housing policy [Internet]. 2016. Available from: <https://www.cph.co.nz/wp-content/uploads/SmokefreeSocialHousingEvaluation.pdf>
81. Wellington City Council. Smokefree Wellington Action Plan. "Kia wātea te ātea" – me auahi kore a Pōneke [Internet]. 2019. Available from: <https://wellington.govt.nz/your-council/plans-policies-and-bylaws/policies/smokefree-wellington>
82. American Nonsmokers' Rights Foundation. Supporting implementation of HUD's smokefree public housing rule [Internet]. 2017. Available from: <https://no-smoke.org/supporting-implementation-huds-smokefree-public-housing-rule/>
83. Dearfield CT, Ulfers M, Horn K, Bernat DH. Resident support for the federally mandated smoke-free rule in public housing: 2018–2022. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2024 Jan;21(1):102.
84. McMillen RC, Winickoff JP, Gottlieb MA, Tanski S, Wilson K, Klein JD. Public support for Smoke-Free Section 8 Public Housing. *West J Nurs Res*. 2019 Aug;41(8):1170–83.

85. NSW Government. Family Day Care and Home Based Child Care Services Regulation 1996 [Internet]. Available from: <https://legislation.nsw.gov.au/view/whole/html/2000-12-08/sl-1996-0412>
86. Petersen AB, Stewart HC, Walters J, Vijayaraghavan M. Smoking policy change within permanent supportive housing. *J Community Health*. 2018 Apr;43(2):312–20.
87. Odes R, Alway J, Kushel M, Max W, Vijayaraghavan M. The smoke-free home study: study protocol for a cluster randomized controlled trial of a smoke-free home intervention in permanent supportive housing. *BMC Public Health*. 2022 Nov;22:2076.
88. Smokefree Partnership. 2022 Smokefree map [Internet]. 2022. Available from: <https://www.smokefreepartnership.eu/smokefree-map>
89. Jarvie JA, Malone RE. Children's secondhand smoke exposure in private homes and cars: an ethical analysis. *Am J Public Health*. 2008 Dec;98(12):2140–5.
90. European Commission. Commission recommends stronger measures on smoke-free environments to better protect public health [Internet]. 2024. Available from: https://ec.europa.eu/commission/presscorner/detail/en/ip_24_4682
91. Stevenson L, Campbell S, Bohanna I, Gould GS, Robertson J, Clough AR. Establishing smoke-free homes in the Indigenous populations of Australia, New Zealand, Canada and the United States: a systematic literature review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2017 Nov;14(11):1382.
92. Kuiper NM, Marshall LL, Lavinghouze SR, King BA. State tobacco control program implementation strategies for smoke-free multiunit housing. *Health Promot Pract*. 2016 Nov;17(6):836–44.
93. UN Environment Programme. In historic move, UN declares healthy environment a human right [Internet]. 2022. Available from: <https://www.unep.org/news-and-stories/story/historic-move-un-declares-healthy-environment-human-right>
94. Community & Public Health. Smokefree social housing toolkit: a guide for creating smokefree policies [Internet]. 2020. Available from: <https://www.cph.co.nz/smokefree-social-housing-toolkit-a-guide-for-creating-smokefree-policies/>
95. American Nonsmokers' Rights Foundation. Reasonable accommodation for public housing residents with disabilities who smoke [Internet]. 2018. Available from: <https://no-smoke.org/reasonable-accommodation-for-public-housing-residents-with-disabilities-who-smoke/>
96. Statistics Finland. Nearly one-half of household-dwelling units lived in blocks of flats in 2023 [Internet]. 2024. Available from: <https://stat.fi/en/publication/clm6angytjtmj0buh5dc1gupk>
97. Päivärinte J, Mäenpää K. Huoneenvuokralakityöryhmän mietintö [Internet]. Oikeusministeriö; 2024 Dec. Report No.: Oikeusministeriön julkaisuja, Mietintöjä ja lausuntoja 2024:41. Available from: <https://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-400-057-4>
98. Government of Finland, Ministry of Justice. Act on Residential Leases (481/1995) [Internet]. 1995. Available from: <https://www.finlex.fi/fi/lainsaadanto/1995/481>
99. Statistics Finland. Over one million household-dwelling units lived in rented dwellings in 2023 [Internet]. 2024. Available from: <https://stat.fi/en/publication/clm6b8z4ajwoe0buhjy5pzi0a>
100. Korpilahti U, Koivula R, Doupi P, Jakoaho V, Lillsunde P. Safely at all ages: programme for the prevention of home and leisure injuries 2021–2030 [Internet]. Ministry of Social Affairs and Health; 2021. Available from: <https://julkaisut.valtioneuvosto.fi/handle/10024/163155>